arXiv:1703.01829v1 [math.RA] 6 Mar 2017

Multiplication alteration by two-cocycles. The nonassociative version

J.N. Alonso Álvarez[1], **J.M. Fernández Vilaboa**[2], **R. González Rodríguez**[3]

[1] Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Vigo, Campus Universitario Lagoas-Marcosende, E-36280 Vigo, Spain (e-mail: jnalonso@uvigo.es)
[2] Departamento de Álxebra, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela. E-15771 Santiago de Compostela, Spain (e-mail: josemanuel.fernandez@usc.es)
[3] Departamento de Matemática Aplicada II, Universidad de Vigo, Campus Universitario Lagoas-Marcosende, E-36310 Vigo, Spain (e-mail: rgon@dma.uvigo.es)

Abstract In this paper we introduce the theory of multiplication alteration by two-cocycles for nonassociative structures like nonassociative bimonoids with left (right) division. Also we explore the connections between Yetter-Drinfeld modules for Hopf quasigroups, projections of Hopf quasigroups, skew pairings, and quasitriangular structures, obtaining the nonassociative version of the main results proved by Doi and Takeuchi for Hopf algebras.

Keywords. nonassociative bimonoid, Hopf quasigroup, 2-cocycle, skew pairing, double cross product, strong projection, quasitriangular Hopf quasigroup.
MSC 2010: 18D10, 17D99, 20N05, 16T05.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let R be a commutative ring with a unit and denote the tensor product over R by $\otimes$. In [34] we can find one of the first interesting examples of multiplication alteration by a 2-cocycle for R-algebras. In this case Sweedler proved that, if U is an associative unitary R-algebra with a commutative subalgebra A and $\sigma = \sum a_i \otimes b_i \otimes c_i \in A \otimes A \otimes A$ is an Amistur 2-cocycle, then U admits a new associative an unitary product defined by $u \bullet v = \sum a_i \ ub_i \ vc_i$ for all $u, v \in U$. Moreover, if U is central separable, U with the new product is still central separable and is isomorphic to the Rosenberg-Zelinsky central separable algebra obtained from the 2-cocycle σ^{-1} (see [33]). Later, Doi discovered in [11] a new contruction to modify the algebra structure of a bialgebra A over a field $\mathbb{F}$ using an invertible 2-cocycle σ in A. In this case if $\sigma : A \otimes A \to \mathbb{F}$ is the 2-cocycle, the new product on A is defined by

$$a * b = \sum \sigma(a_1 \otimes b_1) a_2 b_2 \sigma^{-1}(a_3 \otimes b_3)$$

for $a, b \in A$. With the new algebra structure and the original coalgebra structure, A is a new bialgebra denoted by A^σ, and if A is a Hopf algebra with antipode λ_A, so is A^σ whit antipode given by

$$\lambda_{A^\sigma}(a) = \sum \sigma(a_1 \otimes \lambda_A(a_2)) \lambda_A(a_3) \sigma^{-1}(\lambda_A(a_4) \otimes a_5).$$

for $a \in A$. One of the main remarkable examples of this construction is the Drinfeld double of a Hopf algebra H. If H^* is the dual of H and $A = H^{*cop} \otimes H$, the Drinfeld double $D(H)$ can be obtained as A^σ where σ is defined by $\sigma((x \otimes g) \otimes (y \otimes h)) = x(1_H) y(g) \varepsilon_H(h)$ for $x, y \in H^*$ and $h, g \in H$. As was pointed by Doi and Takeuchi [12] "this will be the shortest description of the multiplication of $D(H)$".

A particular case of alterations of products by 2-cocycles are provided by invertible skew pairings on bialgebras. If A and H are bialgebras and $\tau : A \otimes H \to \mathbb{F}$ is an invertible skew pairing, Doi and Takeuchi defined [12] a new biagebra $A \bowtie_\tau H$ in the following way: The morphism $\omega : A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes H \to \mathbb{F}$ defined by $\omega((a \otimes g) \otimes (b \otimes h)) = \varepsilon_A(a) \varepsilon_H(h) \tau(b \otimes g)$, for $a, b \in A$ and $g, h \in H$, is a 2-cocycle in $A \otimes H$ and $A \bowtie_\tau H = (A \otimes H)^\omega$. The construction of $A \bowtie_\tau H$ also generalizes the Drinfeld double because H^{*cop} and H are skew-paired. Moreover, $A \bowtie_\tau H$ is an example of Majid's double crossproduct $A \bowtie H$ (see

[22], [24]) where the left H-module structure of A, denoted by φ_A, and the right A-module structure of H, denoted by ϕ_H, are defined by

$$\varphi_A(h\otimes a)=\sum \tau(a_1\otimes h_1)a_2\tau^{-1}(a_3\otimes h_2),\quad \phi_H(h\otimes a)=\sum \tau(a_1\otimes h_1)h_2\tau^{-1}(a_2\otimes h_3),$$

for $a\in A$ and $h\in H$.

On the other hand, a relevant class of Hopf algebras are quasitriangular Hopf algebras. This kind of Hopf algebraic objects were introduced by Drinfeld [13] and provide solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation: If H is quasitriangular with morphism $R:\mathbb{F}\to H\otimes H$ and M is a left H-module with action φ_M, the endomorphism $T:M\otimes M\to M\otimes M$ defined by $T(m\otimes m')=\sum \varphi_M(R^1\otimes m)\otimes\varphi_M(R^2\otimes m')$ is a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. If moreover, for a Hopf algebra A there exists an invertible skew paring $\tau:A\otimes H\to\mathbb{F}$, by Proposition 2.5 of [12], we have that $g:A\bowtie_\tau H\to H$, defined by $g(a\otimes h)=\sum\tau(a\otimes R^1)R^2h$ for $a\in A$, $h\in H$, is a Hopf algebra projection. Therefore skew pairings and quasitriangular structures give special cases of Hopf algebra projections. These kind of projections were completely described by Radford [28], who gave equivalent conditions for the tensor product of two Hopf algebras A and H (equipped with smash product algebra and smash coproduct coalgebra) to be a Hopf algebra, and characterized such objects via bialgebra projections. Later, Majid [23] interpreted this result in the modern context of braided categories and, using the bosonization process, stated that there is a one to one correspondence between Hopf algebras in the category of left-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules, denoted by ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, and Hopf algebras B with a projection, i.e., with Hopf algebra morphisms $f:H\to B$, $g:B\to H$ such that $g\circ f=id_H$. Therefore, if we came back to the Hopf algebra projection induced by two Hopf algebras A and H, such that H is quasitriangular, and a skew pairing $\tau:A\otimes H\to\mathbb{F}$, we obtain by the Majid's bijection a Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. As was proved in [1], this Hopf algebra (or braided Hopf algebra) is A with a modified product and antipode. If $A\rtimes H$ denotes the bosonization of A, $A\rtimes H$ and $A\bowtie_\tau H$ are isomorphic as Hopf algebras.

An interesting generalization of Hopf algebras are nonassociative Hopf algebras. This notion in a category of vector spaces was introduced by Pérez- Izquierdo [27] in order to construct the universal enveloping algebra for Sabinin algebras, prove a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem for Sabinin algebras and give a nonassociative version of the Milnor-Moore theorem. Later, Klim and Majid in [20] considered the case where in addition an antipode is defined in order to understand the structure and relevant properties of the algebraic 7-sphere. Moreover, nonassociative Hopf algebras arise naturally related with other structures in various nonassociative contexts ([35], [4], [2], [3]), and in recent years, interesting research about its specific structure has been developed (see for example [26]). As in the quasi-Hopf setting, nonassociative Hopf algebras are not associative, but the lack of this property is compensated in this case by some axioms involving the division operation. It includes the example of an enveloping algebra $U(L)$ of a Malcev algebra (see [20], [35]) and, more generally, of an arbitrary Sabinin algebra (see [27]) as well as the notion of the loop algebra RL of a loop L (see [4], [25]). Then, nonassociative Hopf algebras unify Moufang loops and Malcev algebras, and, more generally, formal loops and Sabinin algebras, in the same way that Hopf algebras unify groups and Lie algebras.

The main motivation of this paper is to introduce the theory of alteration multiplication, in the sense of Doi, for nonassociative algwell-knownebraic structures in monoidal categories. An outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some definitions and prove some useful results for the next sections. In the third section we prove that for a nonassociative bimonoid A with a left (right) division, if there exists an invertible 2-cocycle σ, it is possible to define a new nonassociative bimonoid A^σ with a left (right) division. Then, if A is a Hopf quasigroup, in the sense of Klim and Majid, A^σ is a Hopf quasigroup and if A is a Hopf algebra we recover the Doi's construction. In Section 4 we introduce the notion of skew pairing and we prove that, as in the associative Hopf algebra setting, if there exists a skew pairing, for two nonassociative bimonoids A, H with a left (right) division, we can define a new nonassociative bialgebra $A\bowtie_\tau H$ with a left (right) division such that $A\bowtie_\tau H=(A\otimes H)^\omega$ for some 2-cocycle ω induced by τ. This implies a similar result for Hopf quasigroups and, as in the Hopf world, we prove in Section 5 that $A\bowtie_\tau H$ can be described in terms of double crossproducts. Finally, using the theory of Hopf quasigroup projections developed in [2], we show that for a Hopf quasigroup A and a quasitriangular Hopf quasigroup

H, if there exists an invertible skew paring τ, it is possible to obtain a strong Hopf quasigroup projection and as a consequence of the results proved in [2], we obtain that A admits a structure of Hopf quasigroup in the category ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ introduced in [2]. This last result provides a way to prove that there exist examples of "true" braided Hopf quasigroups (see Example 6.9).

In this paper we will work in a monoidal setting. Following [21], recall that a monoidal category is a category $\mathcal{C}$ together with a functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$, called tensor product, an object K of $\mathcal{C}$, called the unit object, and families of natural isomorphisms

$$a_{M,N,P} : (M \otimes N) \otimes P \to M \otimes (N \otimes P)$$

$$r_M : M \otimes K \to M, \quad l_M : K \otimes M \to M$$

in $\mathcal{C}$, called associativity, right unit and left unit constraints, respectively, satisfying the Pentagon Axiom and the Triangle Axiom, i.e.,

$$a_{M,N,P\otimes Q} \circ a_{M\otimes N,P,Q} = (id_M \otimes a_{N,P,Q}) \circ a_{M,N\otimes P,Q} \circ (a_{M,N,P} \otimes id_Q),$$

$$(id_M \otimes l_N) \circ a_{M,K,N} = r_M \otimes id_N$$

where for each object X in $\mathcal{C}$, id_X denotes the identity morphism of X. A monoidal category is called strict if the associativity, right unit and left unit constraints are identities. It is a well-known fact (see for example [18]) that every non-strict monoidal category is monoidal equivalent to a strict one. Then, in general, when we work with monoidal categories, we can assume without loss of generality, that the category is strict. This lets us to treat monoidal categories as if they were strict and, as a consequence, the results proved in this paper hold for every non-strict symmetric monoidal category, for example the category of vector spaces over a field $\mathbb{F}$, or the category of left modules over a commutative ring R. For simplicity of notation, given objects M, N, P in $\mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $f : M \to N$, we write $P \otimes f$ for $id_P \otimes f$ and $f \otimes P$ for $f \otimes id_P$.

A braiding for a strict monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is a natural family of isomorphisms $t_{M,N} : M \otimes N \to N \otimes M$ subject to the conditions

$$t_{M,N\otimes P} = (N \otimes t_{M,P}) \circ (t_{M,N} \otimes P), \quad t_{M\otimes N,P} = (t_{M,P} \otimes N) \circ (M \otimes t_{N,P}).$$

A strict braided monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is a strict monoidal category with a braiding. These categories were introduced by Joyal and Street in [16] (see also [17]) motivated by the theory of braids and links in topology. Note that, as a consequence of the definition, the equalities $t_{M,K} = t_{K,M} = id_M$ hold, for all M object of $\mathcal{C}$.

If the braiding satisfies that $t_{N,M} \circ t_{M,N} = id_{M\otimes N}$, for all M, N in $\mathcal{C}$, we will say that $\mathcal{C}$ is symmetric. In this case, we call the braiding t a symmetry for the category $\mathcal{C}$.

Throughout this paper $\mathcal{C}$ denotes a strict symmetric monoidal category with tensor product $\otimes$, unit object K and symmetry c. Following [5], we also assume that in $\mathcal{C}$ every idempotent morphism splits, i.e., for any morphism $q : X \to X$ such that $q \circ q = q$ there exist an object Z, called the image of q, and morphisms $i : Z \to X$, $p : X \to Z$ such that $q = i \circ p$ and $p \circ i = id_Z$. The morphisms p and i will be called a factorization of q. Note that Z, p and i are unique up to isomorphism. The categories satisfying this property constitute a broad class that includes, among others, the categories with epi-monic decomposition for morphisms and categories with (co)equalizers.

A magma in $\mathcal{C}$ is a pair $A = (A, \mu_A)$ where A is an object in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mu_A : A \otimes A \to A$ (product) is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$. A unital magma in $\mathcal{C}$ is a triple $A = (A, \eta_A, \mu_A)$ where (A, μ_A) is a magma in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\eta_A : K \to A$ (unit) is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mu_A \circ (A \otimes \eta_A) = id_A = \mu_A \circ (\eta_A \otimes A)$. A monoid in $\mathcal{C}$ is a unital magma $A = (A, \eta_A, \mu_A)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying $\mu_A \circ (A \otimes \mu_A) = \mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A)$, i.e., the product μ_A is associative. Given two unital magmas (monoids) A and B, $f : A \to B$ is a morphism of unital magmas (monoids) if $f \circ \eta_A = \eta_B$ and $\mu_B \circ (f \otimes f) = f \circ \mu_A$.

Also, if A, B are unital magmas (monoids) in $\mathcal{C}$, the object $A \otimes B$ is a unital magma (monoid) in $\mathcal{C}$ where $\eta_{A\otimes B} = \eta_A \otimes \eta_B$ and $\mu_{A\otimes B} = (\mu_A \otimes \mu_B) \circ (A \otimes c_{B,A} \otimes B)$. If $A = (A, \eta_A, \mu_A)$ is a unital magma also is $A^{op} = (A, \eta_A, \mu_A \circ c_{A,A})$.

A comagma in $\mathcal{C}$ is a pair $D = (D, \delta_D)$ where D is an object in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\delta_D : D \to D \otimes D$ (coproduct) is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$. A counital comagma in $\mathcal{C}$ is a triple $D = (D, \varepsilon_D, \delta_D)$ where (D, δ_D) is a comagma in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\varepsilon_D : D \to K$ (counit) is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\varepsilon_D \otimes D) \circ \delta_D = id_D = (D \otimes \varepsilon_D) \circ \delta_D$. A comonoid in $\mathcal{C}$ is a counital comagma in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying $(\delta_D \otimes D) \circ \delta_D = (D \otimes \delta_D) \circ \delta_D$, i.e., the coproduct δ_D is coassociative. If D and E are counital comagmas (comonoids) in $\mathcal{C}$, $f : D \to E$ is a morphism of counital comagmas (comonoids) if $\varepsilon_E \circ f = \varepsilon_D$, and $(f \otimes f) \circ \delta_D = \delta_E \circ f$.

Moreover, if D, E are counital comagmas (comonoids) in $\mathcal{C}$, the object $D \otimes E$ is a counital comagma (comonoid) in $\mathcal{C}$ where $\varepsilon_{D\otimes E} = \varepsilon_D \otimes \varepsilon_E$ and $\delta_{D\otimes E} = (D \otimes c_{D,E} \otimes E) \circ (\delta_D \otimes \delta_E)$. If $D = (D, \varepsilon_D, \delta_D)$ is a counital comagma also is $D^{cop} = (D, \varepsilon_D, c_{D,D} \circ \delta_D)$.

If A is a magma, B a comagma and $f : B \to A$, $g : B \to A$ are morphisms, we define the convolution product by $f * g = \mu_A \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \delta_B$. If A is unital and B counital, the morphism $f : B \to A$ is convolution invertible if there exists $f^{-1} : B \to A$ such that $f * f^{-1} = f^{-1} * f = \varepsilon_B \otimes \eta_A$.

2. Nonassociative bimonoids

In this section we introduce the definition of nonassociative bimonoid with left (right) division. We give some properties and establish the relation with left (right) Hopf quasigroups.

Definition 2.1. nonassociative A nonassociative bimonoid in the category $\mathcal{C}$ is a unital magma (H, η_H, μ_H) and a comonoid $(H, \varepsilon_H, \delta_H)$ such that ε_H and δ_H are morphisms of unital magmas (equivalently, η_H and μ_H are morphisms of counital comagmas). Then the following identities hold:

$$\varepsilon_H \circ \eta_H = id_K, \tag{1}$$

$$\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H = \varepsilon_H \otimes \varepsilon_H, \tag{2}$$

$$\delta_H \circ \eta_H = \eta_H \otimes \eta_H, \tag{3}$$

$$\delta_H \circ \mu_H = (\mu_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H}. \tag{4}$$

We say that H has a left division if moreover there exists a morphism $l_H : H \otimes H \to H$ in $\mathcal{C}$ (called the left division of H) such that

$$l_H \circ (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H \otimes H = \mu_H \circ (H \otimes l_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H). \tag{5}$$

A morphism $f : H \to B$ between nonassociative bimonoids H and B is a morphism of unital magmas and comonoids.

We say that a nonassociative bimonoid H in the category $\mathcal{C}$ is cocommutative if $\delta_H = c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H$.

Remark 2.2. We have the corresponding notion of nonassociative bimonoid with right division, replacing the left division l_H by a right division $r_H : H \otimes H \to H$ that, instead of (5), satisfies:

$$r_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) = H \otimes \varepsilon_H = \mu_H \circ (r_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H). \tag{6}$$

Note that, if $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of vector spaces over a field $\mathbb{F}$, the notion of nonassociative bimonoid with left and a right division is the one introduced by Pérez-Izquierdo in [27] with the name of unital H-bialgebra.

Now we give some properties about nonassociative bimonoids with left division.

Proposition 2.3. *Let H be a nonassociative bimonoid. There exists a left division l_H if and only if the morphism $h : H \otimes H \to H \otimes H$ defined as $h = (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ is an isomorphism. As a consequence, a left division l_H is uniquely determined.*

Similarly, there exists a right division r_H if and only if the morphism $d : H \otimes H \to H \otimes H$ defined as $d = (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H)$ is an isomorphism. As a consequence, a right division r_H is uniquely determined.

Proof. Let $l_H : H \otimes H \to H$ be a left division. Define $h' = (H \otimes l_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$. Then, by (5) and the coassociativity of δ_H, we obtain that h' is the inverse of h.

On the other hand, if h is an isomorphism, using the coassociativity of δ_H, we obtain that

$$(\delta_H \otimes H) \circ h^{-1} \circ h = \delta_H \otimes H = (H \otimes (h^{-1} \circ h)) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = (H \otimes h^{-1}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \circ h$$

and the equality

$$(\delta_H \otimes H) \circ h^{-1} = (H \otimes h^{-1}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \tag{7}$$

holds.

Then the morphism $l_H = (\varepsilon_H \otimes H) \circ h^{-1}$ is a left division for H. Indeed, trivially $l_H \circ h = \varepsilon_H \otimes H$ and, by (7), we have that

$$\mu_H \circ (H \otimes l_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \mu_H \circ (H \otimes \varepsilon_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \circ h^{-1} = (\varepsilon_H \otimes H) \circ h \circ h^{-1} = \varepsilon_H \otimes H.$$

The proof for the right side is similar and we leave the details to the reader. Note that in this case $d^{-1} = (r_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H)$ and $r_H = (H \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ d^{-1}$.

□

Remark 2.4. In the conditions of the previous proposition, if h is an isomorphism, we obtain

$$h^{-1} \circ \delta_H = H \otimes \eta_H, \tag{8}$$

$$\mu_H \circ h^{-1} = \varepsilon_H \otimes H. \tag{9}$$

In a similar way if g is an isomorphism, we obtain

$$d^{-1} \circ \delta_H = \eta_H \otimes H, \tag{10}$$

$$\mu_H \circ d^{-1} = H \otimes \varepsilon_H. \tag{11}$$

Also, composing with $\varepsilon_H \otimes H$ in (8), we get that

$$l_H \circ \delta_H = \varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_H. \tag{12}$$

Composing with $H \otimes \eta_H$ in (5) we have

$$id_H * \lambda_H = \varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_H \tag{13}$$

for $\lambda_H = l_H \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$. Similarly, composing with $\eta_H \otimes H$ in (6), the equality

$$\varrho_H * id_H = \varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_H \tag{14}$$

follows for $\varrho_H = r_H \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)$.

On the other hand, by (1), (3), and (5)

$$l_H \circ (\eta_H \otimes H) = id_H. \tag{15}$$

Also, for right divisions we have

$$r_H \circ (H \otimes \eta_H) = id_H. \tag{16}$$

Finally, by (2) and (5)

$$\varepsilon_H \circ l_H = \varepsilon_H \otimes \varepsilon_H. \tag{17}$$

Therefore,

$$\varepsilon_H \circ \lambda_H = \varepsilon_H, \tag{18}$$

and

$$\lambda_H \circ \eta_H = \eta_H. \tag{19}$$

Of course, for a right division we have

$$\varepsilon_H \circ r_H = \varepsilon_H \otimes \varepsilon_H, \tag{20}$$

$$\varepsilon_H \circ \varrho_H = \varepsilon_H, \tag{21}$$

$$\varrho_H \circ \eta_H = \eta_H. \tag{22}$$

The following result was proved in ([27], Proposition 6) for unital H-bialgebras. In this paper we give an alternative proof based in Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 2.5. *Let H be a nonassociative bimonoid with left division l_H. It holds that*

$$\delta_H \circ l_H = (l_H \otimes l_H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H). \tag{23}$$

As a consequence, if $\lambda_H = l_H \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$ we have that λ_H is anticomultiplicative, i.e.,

$$\delta_H \circ \lambda_H = (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H. \tag{24}$$

If r_H is a right division for H,

$$\delta_H \circ r_H = (r_H \otimes r_H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H)) \tag{25}$$

holds. Then, if $\varrho_H = r_H \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)$, we have that

$$\delta_H \circ \varrho_H = (\varrho_H \otimes \varrho_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H. \tag{26}$$

Proof. Indeed, if we compose in the first term of the equality (23) with the isomorphism $h = (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$, we have

$$\delta_H \circ l_H \circ h = \varepsilon_H \otimes \delta_H$$

and, on the other hand, composing in the second term,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(l_H \otimes l_H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H) \circ h \\
&= (l_H \otimes l_H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by (4))} \\
&= ((l_H \circ h) \otimes l_H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (((H \otimes \delta_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H) \text{ (by naturality of } c \\
&\quad \text{and coassociativity)} \\
&= (H \otimes l_H) \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes \mu_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H} \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and properties of the counit)} \\
&= (H \otimes (l_H \circ h)) \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \text{ (by naturality of } c) \\
&= \varepsilon_H \otimes \delta_H \text{ (by naturality of } c).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (23) holds. Finally, the equality (24) follows by (23) and (3). Similarly we can prove the identities (25) and (26).

□

Example 2.6. An essential example of a nonassociative bimonoid arises from Sabinin algebras. We take one of its possible definitions directly from [27]. A vector space V over a field of characteristic zero is called a Sabinin algebra if it is endowed with multilinear operations

$$\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m; y, z\rangle,\ m \geq 0,$$

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m; y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n),\ m \geq 1, n \geq 2,$$

which satisfy the identities

$$\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m; y, z\rangle = -\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m; z, y\rangle,$$

$$\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r, a, b, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_m; y, z\rangle - \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r, b, a, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_m; y, z\rangle$$

$$+ \textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{\omega} \langle x_{\omega_1}, \ldots, x_{\omega_k}; \langle x_{\omega_{k+1}}, \ldots x_{\omega_r}; a, b\rangle, \ldots, x_m; y, z\rangle = 0,$$

$$\sigma_{x,y,z}\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r, x; y, z\rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{\omega} \langle x_{\omega_1}, \ldots, x_{\omega_k}; \langle x_{\omega_{k+1}}, \ldots x_{\omega_r}; y, z\rangle, x\rangle = 0$$

and

$$\Phi(x_1, \ldots, x_m; y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \Phi(x_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(m)}; y_{\upsilon(1)}, \ldots, y_{\upsilon(n)}),$$

where ω runs the set of all bijections of the type $\omega : \{1, 2, \ldots, r\} \to \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$, $i \mapsto \omega_i$, $\omega_1 < \omega_2 < \ldots < \omega_k$, $\omega_{k+1} < \ldots < \omega_r$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, r$, $r \geq 0$, $\sigma_{x,y,z}$ denotes the cyclic sum by x, y, z; $\tau \in S_m$, $\upsilon \in S_n$ and S_l is the symmetric group.

Given any Sabinin algebra V, it was explicitly constructed in [27] its universal enveloping algebra $U(V)$, and moreover, it was also proved that it can be provided with a cocommutative nonassociative bimonoid structure with left and right division.

This is an interesting example because, as it is pointed out in [25] and [27], the definition of Sabinin algebra includes an infinite set of independent operations, but when we take a finite set we recover many

other common structures. For example, it includes, as particular instances with $\Phi = 0$, Lie, Malcev and Bol algebras. Specifically, Bol algebras have one binary and one ternary operation, Malcev algebras one binary operation, and Lie algebras are just a further particularization of Malcev algebras.

Now we introduce the notion of left Hopf quasigroup.

Definition 2.7. A left Hopf quasigroup H in $\mathcal{C}$ is a nonassociative bimonoid such that there exists a morphism $\lambda_H : H \to H$ in $\mathcal{C}$ (called the left antipode of H) satisfying:

$$\mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H \otimes H = \mu_H \circ (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H). \tag{27}$$

Note that composing with $H \otimes \eta_H$ in (27) we obtain

$$\lambda_H * id_H = \varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_H. \tag{28}$$

Obviously, there is a similar definition for the right side, i.e., H is a right Hopf quasigroup if there is a morphism $\varrho_H : H \to H$ in $\mathcal{C}$ (called the right antipode of H) such that:

$$\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \varrho_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) = H \otimes \varepsilon_H = \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes \varrho_H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H). \tag{29}$$

Then, composing with $\eta_H \otimes H$ in (29) we obtain

$$id_H * \varrho_H = \varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_H. \tag{30}$$

The above definition is a generalization of the notion of Hopf quasigroup (also called nonassociative Hopf algebra with the inverse property, or nonassociative IP Hopf algebra) introduced in [20] (in this case $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of vector spaces over a field $\mathbb{F}$). We recall this definition in a monoidal setting (see [2], [3]). Note that a Hopf quasigroup is associative if an only if is a Hopf algebra.

Definition 2.8. A Hopf quasigroup H in $\mathcal{C}$ is a nonassociative bimonoid such that there exists a morphism $\lambda_H : H \to H$ in $\mathcal{C}$ (called the antipode of H) satisfying (27) and (29). If H is a Hopf quasigroup in $\mathcal{C}$, the antipode λ_H is unique and antimultiplicative, i.e.,

$$\lambda_H \circ \mu_H = \mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H}, \tag{31}$$

([20], Proposition 4.2). A morphism between two Hopf quasigroups H and B is a morphism $f : H \to B$ of unital magmas and comonoids. Then (see Lemma 1.4 of [2]) the equality

$$\lambda_B \circ f = f \circ \lambda_H, \tag{32}$$

holds.

Remark 2.9. Note that if H is both left and right Hopf quasigroup, the left and right antipodes are the same. Indeed, denote by λ_H and ϱ_H the left and right antipodes, respectively. Then, taking into account (28), the coassociativity of δ_H and condition (29),

$$\varrho_H = (\lambda_H * id_H) * \varrho_H = \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes \varrho_H) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \delta_H) \circ \delta_H = \lambda_H.$$

As a consequence, H is a Hopf quasigroup iff H is a left and right Hopf quasigroup.

Example 2.10. A loop $(L, \cdot, /, \backslash)$ is a set L equipped with three binary operations of multiplication $\cdot$, right division $/$ and left division $\backslash$, satisfying the identities:

$$v \backslash (v \cdot u) = u, \tag{33}$$

$$u = (u \cdot v) / v, \tag{34}$$

$$v \cdot (v \backslash u) = u, \tag{35}$$

$$u = (u / v) \cdot v, \tag{36}$$

and such that in addition it contains an identity element e_L satisfying that the equations $e_L \cdot x = x = x \cdot e_L$ hold for all x in L. From now on, for brevity of notations, multiplications on L will be expressed by juxtaposition.

Let L be a loop and let N be a non-empty subset of L. Then we say that N is a subloop of L if it is closed under the three binary operations. Notice that in this case $e_L = e_N$.

A map $h : L_1 \to L_2$ is called a loop homomorphism if $h(uv) = h(u)h(v)$, $h(u/v) = h(u)/h(v)$ and $h(u\backslash v) = h(u)\backslash h(v)$ for all $u, v \in L_1$. A loop homomorphism which is bijective is called a loop isomorphism. It is a well-known fact that, if $h : L_1 \to L_2$ is a loop homomorphism, we have $h(e_{L_1}) = e_{L_2}$.

Let R be a commutative ring and L a loop. Then, the loop algebra

$$RL = \bigoplus_{u \in L} Ru$$

is a cocommutative nonassociative bimonoid with product, left and right division defined by linear extensions of those defined in L and

$$\delta_{RL}(u) = u \otimes u, \;\; \varepsilon_{RL}(u) = 1_R$$

on the basis elements (see [27]).

Now we give the relation between nonassociative bimonoids with left division and left Hopf quasigroups.

Proposition 2.11. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *H is a nonassociative bimonoid with left division l_H such that*

$$l_H = \mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes H), \tag{37}$$

where $\lambda_H = l_H \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$.

(ii) *H is a nonassociative bimonoid with left division l_H such that*

$$\mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H \otimes H, \tag{38}$$

where $\lambda_H = l_H \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$.

(iii) *H is a left Hopf quasigroup.*

Proof. By (5), (i) implies (ii). Moreover, composing in (38) with $(H \otimes l_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ and using coassociativity, we get that (ii) implies (i). Now assume (i). Then, by (37) and (5),

$$\mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = l_H \circ (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H \otimes H,$$

and in a similar way $\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H \otimes H$. Finally, if H is a left Hopf quasigroup the morphism $l_H = \mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes H)$ is a left division and satisfies (37).

□

The relation between nonassociative bimonoids with right division and right Hopf quasigroups is the following (the proof is similar to the one used for left divisions):

Proposition 2.12. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *H is a nonassociative bimonoid with right division r_H such that*

$$r_H = \mu_H \circ (H \otimes \varrho_H), \tag{39}$$

where $\varrho_H = r_H \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)$.

(ii) *H is a nonassociative bimonoid with right division r_H such that*

$$\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes \varrho_H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) = H \otimes \varepsilon_H, \tag{40}$$

where $\varrho_H = r_H \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)$.

(iii) *H is a right Hopf quasigroup.*

Example 2.13. A loop L is said to be a loop with the inverse property (for brevity an IP-loop) if for every element $u \in L$, there corresponds an element $u^{-1} \in L$ such that the equations

$$u^{-1}(uv) = v = (vu)u^{-1}, \tag{41}$$

hold for every $v \in L$.

If L is an IP-loop, it is easy to show that for all $u \in L$ the element u^{-1} is unique and

$$u^{-1}u = e_L = uu^{-1}. \tag{42}$$

Moreover, the mapping $u \to u^{-1}$ is an anti-automorphism of the IP-loop L:

$$(uv)^{-1} = v^{-1}u^{-1}. \tag{43}$$

Now let R be a commutative ring and let L be an IP-loop. Then, by Proposition 4.7 of [20], the nonassociative bimonoid

$$RL = \bigoplus_{u \in L} Ru,$$

defined in Example 2.10, is a cocommutative Hopf quasigroup with $\lambda_{RL}(u) = u^{-1}$.

Relevant examples of IP-loops are provided by Moufang loops, closely related with groups with triality. With respect to its linearization, loop algebras of Moufang loops correspond to Moufang-Hopf algebras, a fact that can be interpreted as the correspondence between groups with triality and Hopf algebras with triality (see [4]).

Example 2.14. Consider a commutative ring R with $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$ in R. A Malcev algebra $(M, [,])$ over R is a free module over R with a bilinear anticommutative operation $[\ ,\ ]$ on M satisfying that:

$$[J(a, b, c), a] = J(a, b, [a, c]),$$

where $J(a, b, c) = [[a, b], c] - [[a, c], b] - [a, [b, c]]$ is the Jacobian in a, b, c (see [26]). Notice that every Lie algebra is a Malcev algebra with $J = 0$. As a particularization of the construction alluded in Example 2.6, the universal enveloping algebra $U(M)$ can be provided with a Hopf quasigroup structure.

Remark 2.15. Notice that a Hopf quasigroup is a particular instance of a nonassociative bimonoid with left and right division. It suffices to take

$$l_H := \mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes H),$$
$$r_H := \mu_H \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H).$$

But the notion of a nonassociative bimonoid is wider, for example the loop algebra RL of a loop L and the universal algebra $U(V)$ of a Sabinin algebra V falls under its definition (see [25], [27]).

3. Multiplication alteration by two cocycles for nonassociative bimonoids

In this section we prove that 2-cocycles, also called two-cocycles, provide a deformation way of altering the product of a nonassociative bimonoid to produce other nonassociative bimonoid. These kind of cocycle deformations were introduced in the Hopf algebra setting by Doi in [11].

Definition 3.1. Let H be a nonassociative bimonoid, and let $\sigma : H \otimes H \to K$ be a convolution invertible morphism. We say that σ is a 2-cocycle if the equality

$$\partial^1(\sigma) * \partial^3(\sigma) = \partial^4(\sigma) * \partial^2(\sigma) \tag{44}$$

holds, where $\partial^1(\sigma) = \varepsilon_H \otimes \sigma$, $\partial^2(\sigma) = \sigma \circ (\mu_H \otimes H)$, $\partial^3(\sigma) = \sigma \circ (H \otimes \mu_H)$ and $\partial^4(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \varepsilon_H$. Equivalently, σ is a 2-cocycle if

$$\sigma \circ (H \otimes ((\sigma \otimes \mu_H) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H})) = \sigma \circ (((\sigma \otimes \mu_H) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H}) \otimes H). \tag{45}$$

Note that, if we compose in (45) with $\eta_H \otimes \eta_H \otimes H$ we obtain

$$((\sigma \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)) \otimes (\sigma \circ (\eta_H \otimes H))) \circ \delta_H = (\sigma \circ (\eta_H \otimes \eta_H)) \otimes (\sigma \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)), \tag{46}$$

and, if we compose with $H \otimes \eta_H \otimes \eta_H$, the following identity holds,

$$((\sigma \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)) \otimes (\sigma \circ (H \otimes \eta_H))) \circ \delta_H = (\sigma \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)) \otimes (\sigma \circ (\eta_H \otimes \eta_H)). \tag{47}$$

The 2-cocycle σ is called normal if further

$$\sigma \circ (\eta_H \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H = \sigma \circ (H \otimes \varepsilon_H), \tag{48}$$

and it is easy to see that if σ is normal so is σ^{-1} because

$\sigma^{-1} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H * (\sigma^{-1} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)) = (\sigma \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)) * (\sigma^{-1} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)) = (\sigma * \sigma^{-1}) \circ (\eta_H \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H$,

and similarly, $\sigma^{-1} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H) = \varepsilon_H$. Analogously, if σ^{-1} is normal so is σ.

Remark 3.2. It is not difficult to show that, if σ is a 2-cocycle, then $\tau = (\sigma^{-1} \circ (\eta_H \otimes \eta_H)) \otimes \sigma$ is a normal 2-cocycle (see [37]). The inverse of τ is $\tau^{-1} = (\sigma \circ (\eta_H \otimes \eta_H)) \otimes \sigma^{-1}$ and the normal condition for τ follows from the identities $(\tau \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)) * (\tau \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)) = \tau \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)$ and $(\tau \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)) * (\tau \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)) = \tau \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$ (these identities are consequence of (46) and (47), respectively). As a consequence, in the following we assume all 2-cocycles are normal.

On the other hand, the morphisms $\partial^i(\sigma)$, $i \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ are convolution invertible with inverses $\partial^i(\sigma^{-1})$, $i \in \{1,2,3,4\}$, respectively. Then the equalities

$$\partial^3(\sigma) * \partial^2(\sigma^{-1}) = \partial^1(\sigma^{-1}) * \partial^4(\sigma), \tag{49}$$

$$\partial^4(\sigma^{-1}) * \partial^1(\sigma) = \partial^2(\sigma) * \partial^3(\sigma^{-1}), \tag{50}$$

$$\partial^3(\sigma^{-1}) * \partial^1(\sigma^{-1}) = \partial^2(\sigma^{-1}) * \partial^4(\sigma^{-1}), \tag{51}$$

hold. The equalities (49), (50) and (51) are equivalent to

$$(\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes \mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes \delta_H \otimes \delta_H) = (\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes H), \tag{52}$$

$$((\sigma \circ (\mu_H \otimes H)) \otimes (\sigma^{-1} \circ (H \otimes \mu_H))) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes \delta_H \otimes \delta_H) = (\sigma^{-1} \otimes \sigma) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H \otimes H), \tag{53}$$

and

$$\sigma^{-1} \circ (H \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H})) = \sigma^{-1} \circ (((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H}) \otimes H), \tag{54}$$

respectively.

Proposition 3.3. *Let H be a nonassociative bimonoid. Let σ be a 2-cocycle. Define the product μ_{H^σ} as*

$$\mu_{H^\sigma} = (\sigma \otimes \mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes H \otimes \delta_{H \otimes H}) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H}.$$

Then $H^\sigma = (H, \eta_{H^\sigma} = \eta_H, \mu_{H^\sigma}, \varepsilon_{H^\sigma} = \varepsilon_H, \delta_{H^\sigma} = \delta_H)$ is a nonassociative bimonoid.

Proof. The equalities (1) and (3) hold trivially. Using that H is a nonassociative bimonoid and (48), we get that $\mu_{H^\sigma} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H) = id_H = \mu_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$. Moreover, by (2),

$$\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_{H^\sigma} = \sigma * \sigma^{-1} = \varepsilon_H \otimes \varepsilon_H.$$

Finally, by the naturality of c, the coassociativity of δ_H and the properties of the counit,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mu_{H^\sigma} \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H} \\
&= (((\sigma \otimes \mu_H) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H}) \otimes (\sigma^{-1} * \sigma) \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H})) \circ (H \otimes ((c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H}) \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes \delta_H) \\
&= \delta_H \circ \mu_{H^\sigma}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.4. *Let H be a nonassociative bimonoid with left division l_H, put $\lambda_H = l_H \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$, and let σ be a 2-cocycle. Define the morphism $f : H \to K$ as $f = \sigma \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H$. If the equality (28) holds, then f is convolution invertible with inverse $f^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H$. Moreover, the following identities hold:*

$$f \circ \eta_H = f^{-1} \circ \eta_H = id_K. \tag{55}$$

If r_H is a right division for H, put $\varrho_H = r_H \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)$. Let σ be a 2-cocycle. Define the morphism $g : H \to K$ as $g = \sigma^{-1} \circ (\varrho_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H$. If the equality (30) holds, then g is convolution invertible with inverse $g^{-1} = \sigma \circ (H \otimes \varrho_H) \circ \delta_H$. Moreover, the following identities hold:

$$g \circ \eta_H = g^{-1} \circ \eta_H = id_K. \tag{56}$$

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
&f * f^{-1} \\
&= (\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H \\
&\quad \text{(by (3) and naturality of } c\text{)} \\
&= (\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H \circ \lambda_H) \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H \text{ (by (24))} \\
&= (\partial^1(\sigma^{-1}) * \partial^4(\sigma)) \circ (((H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H) \circ \delta_H \text{ (by (23), naturality of } c \text{ and counit properties)} \\
&= (\partial^3(\sigma) * \partial^2(\sigma^{-1})) \circ (((H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H) \circ \delta_H \text{ (by (49))}
\end{aligned}$$

$= (\sigma\otimes\sigma^{-1})\circ(H\otimes\mu_{H\otimes H}\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(((\delta_H\otimes((\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ c_{H,H}\circ\delta_H))\circ\delta_H)\otimes\delta_H)$
$\circ\delta_H$ (by (3), (23) and naturality of c)
$= (\sigma\otimes\sigma^{-1})\circ(H\otimes((\mu_H\otimes(id_H * \lambda_H))\circ(\lambda_H\otimes c_{H,H})\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)\circ\delta_H)\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)\circ\delta_H$ (by naturality of c, and coassociativity)
$= (((\sigma\circ(H\otimes(\lambda_H * id_H))\circ\delta_H))\otimes(\sigma^{-1}\circ(\eta_H\otimes H)))\circ\delta_H$ (by (13) and counit properties))
$= \sigma\circ(H\otimes\eta_H)$ (by (28), the normal condition for σ^{-1} and counit properties)
$= \varepsilon_H$ (by (48)).

On the other hand,

$f^{-1} * f$
$= (\partial^4(\sigma^{-1}) * \partial^1(\sigma))\circ(\lambda_H\otimes H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)\circ\delta_H$ (by coassociativity, naturality of c and counit properties)
$= (\partial^2(\sigma) * \partial^3(\sigma^{-1}))\circ(\lambda_H\otimes H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)\circ\delta_H$ (by (50))
$= (\sigma\otimes\sigma^{-1})\circ(\mu_H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes\mu_H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)$
$\circ(((((\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ c_{H,H}\circ\delta_H)\otimes\delta_H)\circ\delta_H)\otimes(((\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ c_{H,H}\circ\delta_H)))\circ\delta_H$ (by (3) and (24))
$= \sigma^{-1}\circ(H\otimes\sigma\otimes(id_H * \lambda_H))\circ(((\lambda_H\otimes(\lambda_H * id_H))\circ\delta_H)\otimes((c_{H,H}\circ(H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ\delta_H)))\circ\delta_H$ (by coassociativity and naturality of c)
$= \varepsilon_H$ (by (28), (13), the normal condition for σ and σ^{-1}, naturality of c, counit properties and (18)).

Finally, (55) follows from (3), (15), the normal condition for σ and σ^{-1} and (1). The proof for the right division is similar and we leave the details to the reader.

□

Remark 3.5. Note that the equalities (28) and (30) hold for every left Hopf quasigroup. Also, they hold for loop algebras associated to right or left Bol loops. The so-called right Bol identity was introduced by G. Bol in [6] and was also mentioned by Bruck in [7]. Let $(L,\cdot,/,\backslash)$ be a loop. L is called a right Bol loop if the right Bol identity

$$((x\cdot y)\cdot z)\cdot y = x\cdot((y\cdot z)\cdot y) \tag{57}$$

holds for all $x,y,z\in L$. If the equality (left Bol identity)

$$y\cdot(z\cdot(y\cdot x)) = (y\cdot(z\cdot y))\cdot x \tag{58}$$

holds for all $x,y,z\in L$, we say that L is a left Bol loop. As was pointed in [32], Bol loops are more general than Moufang loops because L is Moufang if and only if it satisfies (57) and (58). Also, Bol loops with the automorphic inverse property are Bruck loops.

An interesting example of right Bol loops comes from matrix theory. The set of $n\times n$ positive definite symmetric matrices is a right Bol loop with the operation

$$P\cdot Q = \sqrt{QP^2Q}.$$

Moreover, in the literature we can find other examples of right Bol loops obtained by modifying the operation in a direct product of groups.

The cocommutative nonassociative bimonoid RL defined in Example 2.10 satisfies the equality (28) if and only if the loop L satisfies

$$(a\backslash e_L)\cdot a = e_L. \tag{59}$$

If L is a right Bol loop the equality (59) always holds. Indeed, first note that

$$((a\cdot(a\backslash e_L))\cdot a)\cdot(a\backslash e_L) = (e_L\cdot a)\cdot(a\backslash e_L) = a\cdot(a\backslash e_L) = e_L.$$

Then,

$$a\cdot(((a\backslash e_L)\cdot a)\cdot(a\backslash e_L)) = e_L = a\cdot(a\backslash e_L).$$

As a consequence,

$$((a\backslash e_L)\cdot a)\cdot(a\backslash e_L) = a\backslash e_L = e_L\cdot(a\backslash e_L).$$

Therefore, (59) holds. In a similar way, it is easy to see (59) for a left Bol loop.

Proposition 3.6. *Let H be a nonassociative bimonoid with left division l_H, put $\lambda_H = l_H \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$ and assume that (28) holds. Let σ be a 2-cocycle and let f, f^{-1} be the morphisms introduced in Proposition (3.4). Define the morphism $l_{H^\sigma} : H \otimes H \to H$ as*

$$l_{H^\sigma} = \mu_{H^\sigma} \circ (f \otimes \lambda_H \otimes f^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H).$$

Then the equality

$$\delta_H \circ l_{H^\sigma} = (l_{H^\sigma} \otimes l_{H^\sigma}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H) \tag{60}$$

holds. Moreover,

$$l_{H^\sigma} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H) = id_H, \tag{61}$$

and

$$l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H) = (f \otimes \lambda_H \otimes f^{-1}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H. \tag{62}$$

Therefore, we have

$$l_{H^\sigma} = \mu_{H^\sigma} \circ ((l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)) \otimes H), \tag{63}$$

$$(f^{-1} \otimes l_{H^\sigma}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \mu_{H^\sigma} \circ (((\lambda_H \otimes f^{-1}) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H). \tag{64}$$

Finally, if H is cocommutative,

$$l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H) = \lambda_H. \tag{65}$$

Proof. Indeed, the equality (60) holds because:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(l_{H^\sigma} \otimes l_{H^\sigma}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H) \\
&= \mu_{H^\sigma \otimes H^\sigma} \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes f^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H} \circ (H \otimes (f * f^{-1}) \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H) \text{ (by coassociativity and naturality of } c) \\
&= \mu_{H^\sigma \otimes H^\sigma} \circ (((f \otimes ((\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes f^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H) \text{ (by the invertibility of } f, \\
&\quad \text{coassociativity and counit properties)} \\
&= (\mu_{H^\sigma} \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H} \circ (f \otimes \lambda_H \otimes f^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by (24))} \\
&= \delta_H \circ l_{H^\sigma} \text{ (by (4) for } H^\sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

The identity (61) follows trivially because η_H is the unit of H^σ and by (3), (55) and (15). Also, using that η_H is the unit of H^σ we obtain (62). The equality (63) folllows directly from (62), and (64) is a consequence of the coassociativity of δ_H, the invertibility of f and the counit properties.

Finally, if H is cocommutative,

$$\begin{aligned}
&l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H) \\
&= (f \otimes \lambda_H \otimes f^{-1}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H \text{ (by (62))} \\
&= (f \otimes f^{-1} \otimes \lambda_H) \circ (H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H)) \circ \delta_H \text{ (by coassociativity and naturality of } c) \\
&= ((f * f^{-1}) \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H \text{ (by coassociativity and cocommutativity of } H) \\
&= \lambda_H \text{ (by the invertibility of } f \text{ and counit properties).}
\end{aligned}$$

□

The right division version of Proposition 3.6 is the following:

Proposition 3.7. *Let H be a nonassociative bimonoid with right division r_H. Put $\varrho_H = r_H \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)$ and assume that (30) holds. Let σ be a 2-cocycle and let g, g^{-1} be the morphisms introduced in Proposition (3.4). Define the morphism $r_{H^\sigma} : H \otimes H \to H$ as*

$$r_{H^\sigma} = \mu_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes g^{-1} \otimes \varrho_H \otimes g) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H).$$

Then the equality

$$\delta_H \circ r_{H^\sigma} = (r_{H^\sigma} \otimes r_{H^\sigma}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H)) \tag{66}$$

holds. Moreover,

$$r_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H) = id_H, \tag{67}$$

and

$$r_{H^\sigma} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H) = (g^{-1} \otimes \varrho_H \otimes g) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H. \tag{68}$$

Therefore, we have

$$r_{H^\sigma} = \mu_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes (r_{H^\sigma} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H))), \tag{69}$$

$$(r_{H^\sigma} \otimes g^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) = \mu_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes ((g^{-1} \otimes \varrho_H) \circ \delta_H)). \tag{70}$$

Finally, if H is cocommutative,

$$r_{H^\sigma} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H) = \varrho_H. \tag{71}$$

The following Lemmas give two equalities will be useful to get the main result of this section.

Lemma 3.8. *Let H be a nonassociative bimonoid with left division l_H, put $\lambda_H = l_H \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$ and assume that (28) holds. Let σ be a 2-cocycle and let f, f^{-1} be the morphisms introduced in Proposition (3.4). Then, the equalities*

$$\sigma \circ ((l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = (f \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H), \tag{72}$$

$$\sigma^{-1} \circ (H \otimes (\mu_{H^\sigma} \circ ((l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)) \otimes H))) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \sigma \circ (\lambda_H \otimes f^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H), \tag{73}$$

hold.

Proof. We begin by showing (72):

$\sigma \circ ((l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$

$= \sigma \circ (((((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes f^{-1}) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by (62))

$= \sigma \circ (H \otimes (\partial^4(\sigma^{-1}) * \partial^1(\sigma)) \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes ((\lambda_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by coassociativity)

$= \sigma \circ (H \otimes (\partial^2(\sigma) * \partial^3(\sigma^{-1})) \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes ((\lambda_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by (50))

$= \sigma \circ (H \otimes \sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((\delta_H \circ \lambda_H) \otimes H \otimes H)) \otimes (((\delta_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by coassociativity and naturality of c)

$= \sigma \circ (H \otimes \sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H \otimes H)) \otimes (((\delta_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by (24))

$= \sigma \circ (H \otimes \sigma^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes ((\lambda_H \otimes \sigma) \circ (H \otimes (\lambda_H * id_H) \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)) \otimes (((\delta_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by naturality of c)

$= \sigma \circ (H \otimes \sigma^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \lambda_H \otimes (((\delta_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by (28), counit properties, naturality of c and (48))

$= (\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes (((\delta_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (((f \otimes H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by naturality of c)

$= (\sigma * \sigma^{-1}) \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by (24))

$= (f \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by invertibility of σ, (18), counit properties, (2) and naturality of c)

To get (73), we firstly show the equality

$$(f \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \sigma \circ (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H). \tag{74}$$

Indeed,

$(f \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$

$= (\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes ((\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by definition of f and coassociativity)

$= (\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ c_{H,H} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by symmetry of c)

$= (\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H \circ \lambda_H) \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by (24))

$= (\partial^3(\sigma) * \partial^2(\sigma^{-1})) \circ (((H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H)$ (by (49) and (52))

$= (\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes \mu_{H\otimes H} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by (24))

$= (\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \mu_H \otimes \mu_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes ((\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by naturality of c)

$= (\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (id_H * \lambda_H) \otimes \mu_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by coassociativity)

$= \sigma \circ (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by (13), counit properties, naturality of c and normality for σ^{-1})

As a consequence,

$\sigma^{-1} \circ (H \otimes (\mu_{H^\sigma} \circ ((l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)) \otimes H))) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$
$= \sigma^{-1} \circ (H \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ (H \otimes ((f \otimes \lambda_H \otimes f^{-1}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by (62))
$= \sigma^{-1} \circ (H \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H)))$
$\circ (H \otimes ((f \otimes ((\sigma \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (((\lambda_H \otimes H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes f^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H))) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)) \otimes H)$
$\circ (\delta_H \otimes \delta_H)$ (by naturality of c and (24))
$= (\sigma^{-1} \otimes ((f \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)))$
$\circ (H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ (H \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \sigma \otimes H) \circ (((\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes f^{-1} \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H))) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)) \otimes H)))$
$\circ (\delta_H \otimes \delta_H)$ (by naturality of c)
$= (\sigma^{-1} \otimes (\sigma \circ (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)))$
$\circ (H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ (H \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \sigma \otimes H) \circ (((\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes f^{-1} \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H))) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)) \otimes H)))$
$\circ (\delta_H \otimes \delta_H)$ (by (74))
$= ((\sigma^{-1} \circ (H \otimes \mu_H)) \otimes (\sigma \circ (H \otimes \mu_H))) \circ (H \otimes H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H)$
$\circ (\delta_H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes (\sigma \circ (((\lambda_H \otimes f^{-1}) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H)) \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$
(by naturality of c and coassociativity)
$= (\partial_3(\sigma^{-1}) * \partial_3(\sigma)) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes (\sigma \circ (\lambda_H \otimes f^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)) \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes \delta_H)$
(by (24))
$= \sigma \circ (\lambda_H \otimes f^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)$ (by invertibility of $\partial_3(\sigma)$ (see Remark 3.2), counit properties and (18)),

and the proof is complete.

□

Lemma 3.9. *Let H be a nonassociative bimonoid with right division r_H, put $\varrho_H = r_H \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)$ and assume that (30) holds. Let σ be a 2-cocycle and let g, g^{-1} be the morphisms introduced in Proposition (3.4). Then, the equalities*

$$\sigma^{-1} \circ (H \otimes (r_{H^\sigma} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H))) \circ (\mu_{H^\sigma} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) = (\sigma \otimes g) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H), \tag{75}$$

$$\sigma \circ ((\mu_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes (r_{H^\sigma} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)))) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) = \sigma^{-1} \circ (H \otimes g^{-1} \otimes \varrho_H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H), \tag{76}$$

hold.

Proof. The proof is similar to the one performed in the previous lemma but using

$$(\sigma \otimes g) \circ (H \otimes \varrho_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) = \sigma^{-1} \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \varrho_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \tag{77}$$

instead of (74). □

The following theorem is the main result of this section. We will show that, under suitable conditions, H^σ is a nonassociative bimonoid with (right) left division (r_{H^σ}) l_{H^σ}.

Theorem 3.10. *The following asssertions hold:*

(i) *Let H be a left Hopf quasigroup with left antipode λ_H. Let σ be a 2-cocycle. Then the nonassociative bimonoid H^σ, defined in Proposition 3.3, is a left Hopf quasigroup with left antipode $\lambda_{H^\sigma} = l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$, where l_{H^σ} is the morphism introduced in Proposition 3.6.*

(ii) *Let H be a right Hopf quasigroup with right antipode ϱ_H. Let σ be a 2-cocycle. Then the nonassociative bimonoid H^σ, defined in Proposition 3.3, is a right Hopf quasigroup with right antipode $\varrho_{H^\sigma} = r_{H^\sigma} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)$, where r_{H^σ} is the morphism introduced in Proposition 3.7.*

(iii) *Let H be a Hopf quasigroup with antipode λ_H. Let σ be a 2-cocycle. Then the nonassociative bimonoid H^σ, defined in Proposition 3.3, is a Hopf quasigroup with antipode λ_{H^σ}.*

Proof. We prove (i). The proof for (ii) is similar using Lemma 3.9 instead of Lemma 3.8. The assertion (iii) follows from Remark 2.9. Note that if H is a Hopf quasigroup λ_H is a left and right antipode and then

$$\lambda_{H^\sigma} = l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H) = r_{H^\sigma} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H) = \varrho_{H^\sigma}$$

because $f = g^{-1}$ and $f^{-1} = g$.

First note that by Proposition 3.3, H^σ is a nonassociative bimonoid. Therefore, to complete the proof, we only need to show (5) for l_{H^σ} and μ_{H^σ}, because (63) holds (see Proposition 3.6) and then, by Proposition 2.11, we obtain that H^σ is a left Hopf quasigroup where $\lambda_{H^\sigma} = l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$ is the left antipode. Indeed, in one hand we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \\
&= (\sigma \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (f \otimes (((\delta_H \circ \lambda_H) \otimes f^{-1}) \circ \delta_H) \otimes (\delta_H \circ \mu_{H^\sigma})) \\
&\quad \circ (\delta_H \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by definition of } \mu_{H^\sigma}) \\
&= (\sigma \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (f \otimes (((c_{H,H} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes f^{-1}) \circ \delta_H) \\
&\quad \otimes ((\mu_{H^\sigma} \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by (24) and (4) for } H^\sigma) \\
&= ((\sigma \circ (\lambda_H \otimes f^{-1} \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ (((H \otimes \delta_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H)) \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \\
&\quad \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and coassociativity)} \\
&= (((\sigma \circ (\lambda_H \otimes f^{-1} \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ (((H \otimes \delta_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H)) \circ ((((f^{-1} * f) \otimes H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes H)) \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \\
&\quad \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by invertibility} \\
&\quad \text{of } f \text{ and counit properties)} \\
&= (((\sigma \circ ((l_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)) \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H))) \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \\
&\quad \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (((f \otimes ((\lambda_H \otimes f^{-1}) \circ \delta_H)) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c, \\
&\quad \text{coassociativity of } \delta_H \text{ and (62))} \\
&= (((f \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)) \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \\
&\quad \circ (((f \otimes ((\lambda_H \otimes f^{-1}) \circ \delta_H)) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by (72))} \\
&= (\sigma^{-1} \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (((f \otimes ((\lambda_H \otimes (f^{-1} * f)) \circ \delta_H)) \\
&\quad \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and coassociativity)} \\
&= (\sigma^{-1} \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes \mu_{H^\sigma}) \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H \otimes \delta_H) \\
&\quad \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by invertibility of } f \text{ and counit properties)} \\
&= (\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes (\delta_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes (\sigma^{-1} * \sigma) \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \\
&\quad \circ (H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c, \text{ coassociativity of } \delta_H \text{ and definition of } \mu_{H^\sigma}) \\
&= (\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H}) \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (((f \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes (\sigma^{-1} * \sigma) \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \\
&\quad \circ (H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by (4) and counit properties)} \\
&= (\mu_H \otimes (\sigma^{-1} \circ (H \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})))) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (((f \otimes (\delta_H \circ \lambda_H)) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \mu_H \otimes H \otimes H) \\
&\quad \circ (H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and coassociativity)} \\
&= (\mu_H \otimes (\partial^3(\sigma^{-1}) * \partial^1(\sigma^{-1}))) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (((f \otimes (\delta_H \circ \lambda_H)) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \mu_H \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \\
&\quad \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by (54))} \\
&= (\mu_H \otimes (\partial^2(\sigma^{-1}) * \partial^4(\sigma^{-1}))) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (((f \otimes (\delta_H \circ \lambda_H)) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \mu_H \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \\
&\quad \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by (51))} \\
&= (\mu_H \otimes (\sigma^{-1} \circ (((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H}) \otimes H))) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (((f \otimes (\delta_H \circ \lambda_H)) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \mu_H \otimes H \otimes H) \\
&\quad \circ (H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by (54))} \\
&= (\mu_H \otimes (\sigma^{-1} \circ (((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H}) \otimes H))) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (f \otimes \lambda_H \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)) \\
&\quad \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and coassociativity)} \\
&= (H \otimes (\sigma^{-1} \circ ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H}) \otimes H)) \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (f \otimes \lambda_H \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)) \\
&\quad \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and coassociativity)} \\
&= (H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (f \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((\delta_H \circ \lambda_H) \otimes \delta_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \\
&\quad \text{(by (5), counit properties and naturality of } c) \\
&= (H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (\sigma^{-1} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (f \otimes ((H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (H \otimes \mu_H \otimes H) \circ (((\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H) \\
&\quad \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and (24))} \\
&= (H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (\sigma^{-1} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (f \otimes ((\lambda_H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ ((\lambda_H * id_H) \otimes H) \circ \delta_H)) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \\
&\quad \text{(by coassociativity of } \delta_H) \\
&= (f * f^{-1}) \otimes H \text{ (by (28), naturality of } c, \text{ normality for } \sigma^{-1} \text{ and counit properties)} \\
&= \varepsilon_H \otimes H \text{ (by invertibility of } f).
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, on the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{H^\sigma} \circ (H \otimes l_{H^\sigma}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \\
&= (\mu_H \otimes \sigma^{-1}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (\sigma \otimes \delta_H \otimes ((l_{H^\sigma} \otimes l_{H^\sigma}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes \delta_H)))
\end{aligned}
$$

$\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes((l_{H^\sigma}\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H})\circ((c_{H,H}\circ\delta_H)\otimes H))\otimes\delta_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)$ (by (60))

$=(\mu_H\otimes(\sigma^{-1}\circ(H\otimes l_{H^\sigma})\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)))\circ(\sigma\otimes((H\otimes c_{H,H})\circ(\delta_H\otimes l_{H^\sigma})\circ(\delta_H\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes\delta_H)$ $\circ(\delta_H\otimes l_{H^\sigma}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by naturality of c and coassociativity)

$=(\mu_H\otimes(\sigma^{-1}\circ(H\otimes(\mu_{H^\sigma}\circ((l_{H^\sigma}\circ(H\otimes\eta_H))\otimes H)))\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)))\circ(\sigma\otimes((H\otimes c_{H,H})\circ(\delta_H\otimes l_{H^\sigma})\circ$ $(\delta_H\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes\delta_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes l_{H^\sigma}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by (63))

$=(\mu_H\otimes(\sigma\circ(\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)))\circ(\sigma\otimes((H\otimes c_{H,H})\circ(\delta_H\otimes l_{H^\sigma})\circ(\delta_H\otimes H))\otimes H)$ $\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes\delta_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes l_{H^\sigma}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by (73))

$=(\mu_H\otimes\sigma)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(\sigma\otimes((((H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ\delta_H)\otimes((f^{-1}\otimes l_{H^\sigma})\circ(\delta_H\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)))$ $\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes l_{H^\sigma}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by naturality of c and coassociativity)

$=(\mu_H\otimes\sigma)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(\sigma\otimes((((H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ\delta_H)\otimes(\mu_{H^\sigma}\circ(((\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1})\circ\delta_H)\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)))$ $\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes l_{H^\sigma}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by (64))

$=\mu_H\circ(H\otimes\mu_{H^\sigma}\otimes\sigma)\circ(H\otimes H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes(c_{H,H}\circ(\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ\delta_H)\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(\sigma\otimes\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ $\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes((f^{-1}\otimes l_{H^\sigma})\circ(\delta_H\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by naturality of c and coassociativity)

$=\mu_H\circ(H\otimes\mu_{H^\sigma}\otimes\sigma)\circ(H\otimes H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes(\delta_H\circ\lambda_H)\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(\sigma\otimes\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)$ $\circ(\delta_H\otimes(\mu_{H^\sigma}\circ(((\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1})\circ\delta_H)\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by (64) and (24))

$=\mu_H\circ(H\otimes((((\sigma\otimes\mu_H)\circ\delta_{H\otimes H})\otimes(\sigma^{-1}*\sigma))\circ\delta_{H\otimes H}\circ(\lambda_H\otimes H)))\circ(\sigma\otimes\delta_H\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)$ $\circ(\delta_H\otimes(\mu_{H^\sigma}\circ(((\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1})\circ\delta_H)\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by naturality of c and coassociativity)

$=\mu_H\circ(H\otimes((\sigma\otimes\mu_H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ((\delta_H\circ\lambda_H)\otimes\delta_H)))\circ(\sigma\otimes\delta_H\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)$ $\circ(\delta_H\otimes(\mu_{H^\sigma}\circ(((\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1})\circ\delta_H)\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by invertibility of σ, naturality of c and counit properties)

$=\mu_H\circ(H\otimes((\sigma\otimes\mu_H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ((c_{H,H}\circ(\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ\delta_H)\otimes\delta_H)))\circ(\sigma\otimes\delta_H\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)$ $\circ(\delta_H\otimes(\mu_{H^\sigma}\circ(((\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1})\circ\delta_H)\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by (24))

$=\mu_H\circ(H\otimes(\mu_H\circ(H\otimes\sigma\otimes H)\circ(((\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ\delta_H)\otimes\delta_H)))\circ(\sigma\otimes\delta_H\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)$ $\circ(\delta_H\otimes(\mu_{H^\sigma}\circ(((\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1})\circ\delta_H)\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by naturality of c)

$=\mu_H\circ(H\otimes(\mu_H\circ(\lambda_H\otimes H))\circ(\delta_H\otimes(\sigma\circ(\lambda_H\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(\sigma\otimes\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)$ $\circ(\delta_H\otimes(\mu_{H^\sigma}\circ(((\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1})\circ\delta_H)\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by coassociativity)

$=((\sigma\circ(\lambda_H\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(\sigma\otimes H\otimes\delta_H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes(\mu_{H^\sigma}\circ(((\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1})\circ\delta_H)\otimes H))\otimes H)$ $\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)$ (by (5) and counit properties)

$=(\sigma\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes((\mu_{H^\sigma}\otimes\sigma)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ((c_{H,H}\circ(\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ\delta_H)\otimes f^{-1}\otimes\delta_H))\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H\otimes\delta_H)$ $\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)$ (by naturality of c and coassociativity)

$=(\sigma\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes((\mu_{H^\sigma}\otimes\sigma)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ((\delta_H\circ\lambda_H)\otimes f^{-1}\otimes\delta_H))\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H\otimes\delta_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)$ (by (24))

$=((\sigma\circ(H\otimes((\sigma\otimes\mu_H)\circ\delta_{H\otimes H})))\otimes(\sigma^{-1}*\sigma)\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes(\delta_H\circ\lambda_H)\otimes f^{-1}$ $\otimes\delta_H\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H\otimes\delta_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)$ (by naturality of c and coassociativity)

$=((\partial^1(\sigma)*\partial^3(\sigma))\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1}\otimes\delta_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)$ (by (45))

$=((\partial^4(\sigma)*\partial^2(\sigma))\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1}\otimes\delta_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)$ (by (44))

$=(\sigma\otimes H)\circ(((\sigma\otimes\mu_H)\circ\delta_{H\otimes H})\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1}\otimes\delta_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)$ (by (45))

$=(\sigma\otimes H)\circ(((\sigma\otimes\mu_H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes(c_{H,H}\circ(\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ\delta_H)))\otimes f^{-1}\otimes\delta_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H\otimes H)$ $\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)$ (by (24))

$=(\sigma\otimes(\sigma\circ((id_H*\lambda_H)\otimes H)))\circ(H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes\delta_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes\lambda_H\otimes f^{-1}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)$ (by naturality of c and coassociativity)

$=(f*f^{-1})\otimes H$ (by (13), naturality of c, normality for σ and counit properties)

$=\varepsilon_H\otimes H$ (by invertibility of f)

and the proof is complete. □

4. Two-cocycles and skew pairings

In this section we will see that, in a similar way that for the Hopf algebra case, a class of 2-cocycles is provided by invertible skew pairings on nonassociative bimonoids. The following definition is inspired

in the corresponding one for Hopf algebras introduced by Doi and Takeuchi in [12] (see also [1] for the monoidal setting).

Definition 4.1. Let A and H be nonassociative bimonoids in $\mathcal{C}$. A pairing between A and H over K is a morphism $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ such that the equalities

(a1) $\tau \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) = (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes \delta_H)$,
(a2) $\tau \circ (A \otimes \mu_H) = (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_A \otimes H \otimes H)$,
(a3) $\tau \circ (A \otimes \eta_H) = \varepsilon_A$,
(a4) $\tau \circ (\eta_A \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H$,

hold.

A skew pairing between A and H is a pairing between A^{cop} and H, i. e., a morphism $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ satisfying (a1), (a3), (a4) and

(a2') $\tau \circ (A \otimes \mu_H) = (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A) \otimes H \otimes H)$.

It is easy to see that, by naturality of c, equality (a2') is equivalent to

$$\tau \circ (A \otimes \mu_H) = (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_A \otimes c_{H,H}). \tag{78}$$

Remark 4.2. Note that, if A and H are Hopf quasigroups, a pairing between A and H^{cop} corresponds with the definition of Hopf pairing introduced in [15].

Proposition 4.3. *Let A, H be nonassociative bimonoids with left division l_A and l_H, respectively. Let $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. Then τ is convolution invertible. Moreover, if τ^{-1} is the inverse of τ, the equalities*

$$\tau^{-1} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H, \tag{79}$$
$$\tau^{-1} \circ (A \otimes \eta_H) = \varepsilon_A \tag{80}$$

and

$$\tau^{-1} \circ (A \otimes \mu_H) = (\tau^{-1} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_A \otimes H \otimes H) \tag{81}$$

hold.

Proof. Define $\tau^{-1} = \tau \circ (\lambda_A \otimes H)$ where $\lambda_A = l_A \circ (A \otimes \eta_A)$. Then,

$\tau * \tau^{-1}$
$= (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (((A \otimes \lambda_A) \circ \delta_A) \otimes \delta_H)$ (by naturality of c)
$= \tau \circ ((id_A * \lambda_A) \otimes H)$ (by (a1) of Definition 4.1)
$= \varepsilon_A \otimes (\tau \circ (\eta_A \otimes H))$ (by (13) for A)
$= \varepsilon_A \otimes \varepsilon_H$ (by (a4) of Definition 4.1).

Moreover, if $\lambda_H = l_H \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$, the morphism $\overline{\tau} = \tau \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \lambda_H)$ satisfies $\tau^{-1} * \overline{\tau} = \varepsilon_A \otimes \varepsilon_H$. Indeed,

$\tau^{-1} * \overline{\tau}$
$= (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{A,A} \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \lambda_A) \circ c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A) \otimes ((H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H))$ (by naturality of c)
$= (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A \circ \lambda_A) \otimes ((H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H))$ (by (24) for A)
$= \tau \circ (\lambda_A \otimes (id_H * \lambda_H))$ (by (a2') of Definition 4.1)
$= \tau \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_H)$ (by (13) for H)
$= (\varepsilon_A \circ \lambda_A) \otimes \varepsilon_H$ (by (a3) of Definition 4.1)
$= \varepsilon_A \otimes \varepsilon_H$ (by (18) for A).

As a consequence, $\tau^{-1} = \tau \circ (\lambda_A \otimes H)$ is the convolution inverse of τ because,

$$\tau = \tau * (\tau^{-1} * \overline{\tau}) = (\tau * \tau^{-1}) * \overline{\tau} = \overline{\tau}.$$

Thus,

$$\tau = \tau^{-1} \circ (A \otimes \lambda_H). \tag{82}$$

It is not difficult to obtain the equalities (79) and (80) because

$\tau^{-1} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H)$
$= \tau \circ ((\lambda_A \circ \eta_A) \otimes H))$ (by definition of τ^{-1})

$= \tau \circ (\eta_A \otimes H))$ (by (19) for A)
$= \varepsilon_H$ (by (a4) of Definition 4.1),

and

$\tau^{-1} \circ (A \otimes \eta_H)$
$= \tau \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \eta_H))$ (by definition of τ^{-1})
$= \varepsilon_A \circ \lambda_A$ (by (a4) of Definition 4.1)
$= \varepsilon_A$ (by (18) for A).

Finally, the proof for (81) is the following:

$\tau^{-1} \circ (A \otimes \mu_H)$
$= (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A \circ \lambda_A) \otimes H \otimes H)$ (by (a2') of Definition 4.1)
$= (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{A,A} \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \lambda_A) \circ c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A) \otimes H \otimes H)$ (by (24) for A)
$= (\tau^{-1} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_A \otimes H \otimes H)$ (by naturality of c and $c^2 = id$).

□

Proposition 4.4. *Let A, H be nonassociative bimonoids with right division r_A and r_H, respectively. Let $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. Then τ is convolution invertible. Moreover, if τ^{-1} is the inverse of τ, the equalities*

$$\tau^{-1} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H, \tag{83}$$
$$\tau^{-1} \circ (A \otimes \eta_H) = \varepsilon_A \tag{84}$$

and

$$\tau^{-1} \circ (A \otimes \mu_H) = (\tau^{-1} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_A \otimes H \otimes H) \tag{85}$$

hold.

Proof. The proof is similar to the one used in the previous proposition but defining τ^{-1} as $\tau^{-1} = \tau \circ (\varrho_A \otimes H)$ where $\varrho_A = r_A \circ (\eta_A \otimes A)$. □

Remark 4.5. Note that, in the conditions of Proposition 4.3, we obtain that

$$\tau = \tau \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \lambda_H), \tag{86}$$

and

$$\tau^{-1} = \tau^{-1} \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \lambda_H). \tag{87}$$

Similarly, in the conditions of Proposition 4.4, for right divisions we have:

$$\tau = \tau \circ (\varrho_A \otimes \varrho_H), \tag{88}$$

and

$$\tau^{-1} = \tau^{-1} \circ (\varrho_A \otimes \varrho_H). \tag{89}$$

Proposition 4.6. *Let A, H be nonassociative bimonoids with left division l_A and l_H, respectively. Let $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. If $\lambda_H = l_H \circ (H \otimes \eta_H)$ is an isomorphism the equality*

$$\tau^{-1} \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) = (\tau^{-1} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H)) \tag{90}$$

holds, where τ^{-1} is the morphism defined in Proposition 4.3.

Moreover, if A is a Hopf quasigroup (90) holds for any nonassociative bimonoid H with left division.

Proof. By composing with the isomorphism $A \otimes A \otimes \lambda_H$ in the left side of (90), we have

$\tau^{-1} \circ (\mu_A \otimes \lambda_H)$
$= \tau \circ (\mu_A \otimes H)$ (by (82)
$= (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes \delta_H)$ (by (a1) of Definition 4.1)
$= ((\tau^{-1} \circ (A \otimes \lambda_H)) \otimes (\tau^{-1} \circ (A \otimes \lambda_H))) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes \delta_H)$ (by (82)
$= (\tau^{-1} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H))$ (by naturality of c and coassociativity)
$= (\tau^{-1} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H \circ \lambda_H))$ (by (24) and $c^2 = id$).

Therefore, (90) holds.

Finally, if we assume that A is a Hopf quasigroup, the antipode λ_A is antimultiplicative. Then condition (90) is true without the assumption of that λ_H be an isomorphism because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau^{-1}\circ(\mu_A\otimes H)\\
&=\tau\circ((\mu_A\circ(\lambda_A\otimes\lambda_A)\circ c_{A,A})\otimes H) \text{ (by (31))}\\
&=(\tau^{-1}\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ(c_{A,A}\otimes\delta_H) \text{ (by (a1) of Definition 4.1)}\\
&=(\tau^{-1}\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes A\otimes(c_{H,H}\circ\delta_H)) \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and } c^2=id).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Similarly we can prove the result for nonassociative bimonoids with right division.

Proposition 4.7. *Let A, H be nonassociative bimonoids with right division r_A and r_H, respectively. Let $\tau: A\otimes H\to K$ be a skew pairing. If $\varrho_H=r_H\circ(\eta_H\otimes H)$ is an isomorphism the equality*

$$\tau^{-1}\circ(\mu_A\otimes H)=(\tau^{-1}\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes A\otimes(c_{H,H}\circ\delta_H)) \tag{91}$$

holds, where τ^{-1} is the morphism defined in Proposition 4.4.

Moreover, if A is a Hopf quasigroup (91) holds for any nonassociative bimonoid H with right division.

The following Propositions give the connection between skew pairings and 2-cocycles.

Proposition 4.8. *Let A, H be nonassociative bimonoids with left division l_A and l_H, respectively. Then $A\otimes H=(A\otimes H,\eta_{A\otimes H},\mu_{A\otimes H},\varepsilon_{A\otimes H},\delta_{A\otimes H})$ is a nonassociative bimonoid with left division $l_{A\otimes H}=(l_A\otimes l_H)\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes H)$. Also, if A, H are left Hopf quasigroups with left antipodes λ_A, λ_H respectively, $A\otimes H$ is a left Hopf quasigroup with left antipode $\lambda_{A\otimes H}=\lambda_A\otimes\lambda_H$.*

Moreover, let $\tau: A\otimes H\to K$ be a skew pairing. The morphism $\omega=\varepsilon_A\otimes(\tau\circ c_{H,A})\otimes\varepsilon_H$ is a normal 2-cocycle with convolution inverse $\omega^{-1}=\varepsilon_A\otimes(\tau^{-1}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes\varepsilon_H$, where τ^{-1} is defined as in Proposition 4.3.

Proof. Trivially, $A\otimes H$ is a nonassociative bimonoid. The morphism $l_{A\otimes H}=(l_A\otimes l_H)\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes H)$ is a left division for $A\otimes H$ because

$$\begin{aligned}
&l_{A\otimes H}\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\mu_{A\otimes H})\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)\\
&=((l_A\circ(A\otimes\mu_A)\circ(\delta_A\otimes A))\otimes(l_H\circ(H\otimes\mu_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)))\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and } c^2=id)\\
&=(\varepsilon_A\otimes A\otimes\varepsilon_H\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes H) \text{ (by (5) for } A \text{ and } H)\\
&=\varepsilon_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H \text{ (by naturality of } c),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_{A\otimes H}\circ(A\otimes H\otimes l_{A\otimes H})\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)\\
&=((\mu_A\circ(A\otimes l_A)\circ(\delta_A\otimes A))\otimes(\mu_H\circ(H\otimes l_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)))\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and } c^2=id)\\
&=(\varepsilon_A\otimes A\otimes\varepsilon_H\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes H) \text{ (by (5) for } A \text{ and } H)\\
&=\varepsilon_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H \text{ (by naturality of } c).
\end{aligned}$$

If A, H are left Hopf quasigroups with left antipodes λ_A, λ_H, by Proposition 2.11, we have that

$$\lambda_{A\otimes H}=l_{A\otimes H}\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\eta_{A\otimes H})=\lambda_A\otimes\lambda_H.$$

Then, $A\otimes H$ is a left Hopf quasigroup because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_{A\otimes H}\circ((\lambda_A\otimes\lambda_H)\otimes\mu_{A\otimes H})\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)\\
&=((\mu_A\circ(\lambda_A\otimes\mu_A)\circ(\delta_A\otimes A))\otimes(\mu_H\circ(\lambda_H\otimes\mu_H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes H)))\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and } c^2=id)\\
&=(\varepsilon_A\otimes A\otimes\varepsilon_H\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes H) \text{ (by (38) for } A \text{ and } H)\\
&=\varepsilon_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H \text{ (by naturality of } c).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau: A\otimes H\to K$ be a skew pairing. Then $\omega=\varepsilon_A\otimes(\tau\circ c_{H,A})\otimes\varepsilon_H$ is a 2-cocycle. Indeed, in one hand we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\partial^1(\omega) * \partial^3(\omega)\\
&= \varepsilon_A \otimes (\tau \circ c_{H,A} \circ (H \otimes (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\tau \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \delta_A)) \otimes \varepsilon_H \text{ (by naturality of } c\text{, counit properties and (2))}\\
&= \varepsilon_A \otimes (\tau \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes ((\tau \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes A) \circ (H \otimes \delta_A))) \otimes \varepsilon_H \text{ (by naturality of } c\text{))}\\
&= \varepsilon_A \otimes ((\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes \delta_H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes ((\tau \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta_A))) \otimes \varepsilon_H\\
&\quad \text{(by (a1) of Definition 4.1)}\\
&= \varepsilon_A \otimes ((\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes (c_{A,H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H \otimes A) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes ((\tau \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes A)\\
&\quad \circ (A \otimes \delta_A))) \otimes \varepsilon_H \text{ (by naturality of } c\text{)}\\
&= \varepsilon_A \otimes ((\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H \otimes A) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes ((\tau \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes A) \circ (H \otimes \delta_A))) \otimes \varepsilon_H \text{ (by}\\
&\quad \text{naturality of } c \text{ and } c^2 = id\text{)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, in the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\partial^4(\omega) * \partial^2(\omega)\\
&= \varepsilon_A \otimes (((\tau \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes (\tau \circ c_{H,A} \circ (\mu_H \otimes A))) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H \otimes A) \circ (\delta_H \otimes A \otimes H \otimes A)) \otimes \varepsilon_H \text{ (by}\\
&\quad \text{naturality of } c\text{, counit properties, and (2))}\\
&= \varepsilon_A \otimes ((\tau \otimes (\tau \circ (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H))) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H \otimes A \otimes H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes c_{H,A})) \otimes \varepsilon_H \text{ (by naturality}\\
&\quad \text{of } c\text{)}\\
&= \varepsilon_A \otimes ((\tau \otimes ((\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A) \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H))) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H \otimes A \otimes H)\\
&\quad \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes c_{H,A})) \otimes \varepsilon_H \text{ (by (a2') of Definition 4.1)}\\
&= \varepsilon_A \otimes ((\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H \otimes A) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes ((\tau \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes A) \circ (H \otimes \delta_A))) \otimes \varepsilon_H \text{ (by}\\
&\quad \text{naturality of } c \text{ and } c^2 = id\text{).}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, ω is convolution invertible because

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\omega * \omega^{-1}\\
&= \varepsilon_A \otimes ((\tau * \tau^{-1}) \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes \varepsilon_H \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and counit properties)}\\
&= \varepsilon_{A\otimes H} \otimes \varepsilon_{A\otimes H} \text{ (by invertibility of } \tau\text{)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\omega^{-1} * \omega\\
&= \varepsilon_A \otimes ((\tau^{-1} * \tau) \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes \varepsilon_H \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and counit properties)}\\
&= \varepsilon_{A\otimes H} \otimes \varepsilon_{A\otimes H} \text{ (by invertibility of } \tau\text{).}
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Proposition 4.9. *Let A, H be nonassociative bimonoids with right division r_A and r_H, respectively. Then $A\otimes H = (A\otimes H, \eta_{A\otimes H}, \mu_{A\otimes H}, \varepsilon_{A\otimes H}, \delta_{A\otimes H})$ is a nonassociative bimonoid with left division $r_{A\otimes H} = (r_A\otimes r_H)\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes H)$. Also, if A, H are right Hopf quasigroups with right antipodes ϱ_A, ϱ_H respectively, $A \otimes H$ is a right Hopf quasigroup with right antipode $\varrho_{A\otimes H} = \varrho_A \otimes \varrho_H$.*

Moreover, let $\tau : A\otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. The morphism $\omega = \varepsilon_A \otimes (\tau \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes \varepsilon_H$ is a 2-cocycle with convolution inverse $\omega^{-1} = \varepsilon_A \otimes (\tau^{-1} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes \varepsilon_H$, where τ^{-1} is defined as in Proposition 4.4.

Proof. Similar to the one of Proposition 4.8. □

As a corollary of Propositions 4.8 and 4.9 we have

Corollary 4.10. *Let A, H be Hopf quasigroups with antipodes λ_A, λ_H respectively. Then $A \otimes H = (A \otimes H, \eta_{A\otimes H}, \mu_{A\otimes H}, \varepsilon_{A\otimes H}, \delta_{A\otimes H})$ is a Hopf quasigroup with antipode $\lambda_{A\otimes H} = \lambda_A \otimes \lambda_H$.*

Moreover, let $\tau : A\otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. The morphism $\omega = \varepsilon_A \otimes (\tau \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes \varepsilon_H$ is a 2-cocycle with convolution inverse $\omega^{-1} = \varepsilon_A \otimes (\tau^{-1} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes \varepsilon_H$, where τ^{-1} is defined as in Proposition 4.3 (or as in Proposition 4.4).

Also, we get the following result which is a generalization of the one given in [15], Proposition 2.2. (There is a slightly difference because the definition of Hopf pairing in [15] corresponds with our notion of pairing betwen A and H^{cop}).

Corollary 4.11. *Let A, H be left Hopf quasigroups with left antipodes λ_A, λ_H respectively. Let $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. Then $A \bowtie_\tau H = (A \otimes H, \eta_{A\bowtie_\tau H}, \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}, \varepsilon_{A\bowtie_\tau H}, \delta_{A\bowtie_\tau H}, \lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H})$ has a structure of left Hopf quasigroup, where*

$$\eta_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \eta_{A\otimes H}, \quad \varepsilon_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \varepsilon_{A\otimes H}, \quad \delta_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \delta_{A\otimes H},$$

$$\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \tag{92}$$

$$= (\mu_A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (A \otimes \tau \otimes A \otimes H \otimes \tau^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H)$$

and

$$\lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = (\tau^{-1} \otimes \lambda_A \otimes \lambda_H \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H}. \tag{93}$$

Proof. The result follows by application of Theorem 3.10 to the left Hopf quasigroup $A \otimes H$ and the 2-cocycle $\omega = \varepsilon_A \otimes (\tau \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes \varepsilon_H$. Using the naturality of c, the counit properties, the coassociativity of the coproducts, and (18), it is easy to check that

$$\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \mu_{(A\otimes H)^\omega}, \quad \lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \lambda_{(A\otimes H)^\omega}.$$

□

Similarly, for right Hopf quasigroups we have

Corollary 4.12. *Let A, H be right Hopf quasigroups with right antipodes ϱ_A, ϱ_H respectively. Let $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. Then $A \bowtie_\tau H = (A \otimes H, \eta_{A\bowtie_\tau H}, \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}, \varepsilon_{A\bowtie_\tau H}, \delta_{A\bowtie_\tau H}, \varrho_{A\bowtie_\tau H})$ has a structure of right Hopf quasigroup, where*

$$\eta_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \eta_{A\otimes H}, \quad \varepsilon_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \varepsilon_{A\otimes H}, \quad \delta_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \delta_{A\otimes H},$$

$$\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \tag{94}$$

$$= (\mu_A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (A \otimes \tau \otimes A \otimes H \otimes \tau^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H)$$

and

$$\varrho_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = (\tau^{-1} \otimes \varrho_A \otimes \varrho_H \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H}. \tag{95}$$

Therefore, as a consequence of Corollaries 4.11 and 4.12 we obtain the following result:

Corollary 4.13. *Let A, H be Hopf quasigroups with antipodes λ_A, λ_H respectively. Let $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. Then $A \bowtie_\tau H = (A \otimes H, \eta_{A\bowtie_\tau H}, \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}, \varepsilon_{A\bowtie_\tau H}, \delta_{A\bowtie_\tau H}, \lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H})$ has a structure of left Hopf quasigroup, where*

$$\eta_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \eta_{A\otimes H}, \quad \varepsilon_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \varepsilon_{A\otimes H}, \quad \delta_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \delta_{A\otimes H},$$

$$\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \tag{96}$$

$$= (\mu_A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (A \otimes \tau \otimes A \otimes H \otimes \tau^{-1} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H)$$

and

$$\lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = (\tau^{-1} \otimes \lambda_A \otimes \lambda_H \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H}. \tag{97}$$

Remark 4.14. When particularizing to the Hopf algebra setting, it is a well-known fact that the Drinfeld double of a Hopf algebra H (roughly speaking, a product involving H and the opposite comonoid of its dual Hopf algebra H^*) is an example of a deformation of a Hopf algebra by the 2-cocycle associated to a skew pairing. We want to point out that in our context we can not describe the Drinfeld double in this way because the dual of a Hopf quasigroup H is not a Hopf quasigroup but a Hopf coquasigroup.

Example 4.15. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field such that $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ and denote the tensor product over $\mathbb{F}$ as $\otimes$. Consider the nonabelian group $S_3 = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4, \sigma_5\}$ where σ_0 is the identity, $o(\sigma_1) = o(\sigma_2) = o(\sigma_3) = 2$ and $o(\sigma_4) = o(\sigma_5) = 3$. Let u be an additional element such that $u^2 = 1$. By Theorem 1 of [10] the set

$$L = M(S_3, 2) = \{\sigma_i u^\alpha \ ; \ \alpha = 0, 1\}$$

is a Moufang loop where the product is defined by

$$\sigma_i u^\alpha . \ \sigma_j u^\beta = (\sigma_i^\nu \sigma_j^\mu)^\nu u^{\alpha+\beta}, \ \ \nu = (-1)^\beta, \ \mu = (-1)^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Then, L is an IP-loop and by example 2.13, $A = \mathbb{F}L$ is a cocommutative Hopf quasigroup.

On the other hand, let H_4 be the 4-dimensional Taft Hopf algebra. This Hopf algebra is the smallest non commutative, non cocommutative Hopf algebra. The basis of H_4 is $\{1, x, y, w = xy\}$ and the multiplication table is defined by

	x	y	w
x	1	w	y
y	$-w$	0	0
w	$-y$	0	0

The costructure of H_4 is given by

$$\delta_{H_4}(x) = x \otimes x,\ \delta_{H_4}(y) = y \otimes x + 1 \otimes y,\ \delta_{H_4}(w) = w \otimes 1 + x \otimes w,$$
$$\varepsilon_{H_4}(x) = 1_{\mathbb{F}},\ \varepsilon_{H_4}(y) = \varepsilon_{H_4}(w) = 0,$$

and the antipode λ_{H_4} is described by

$$\lambda_{H_4}(x) = x,\ \lambda_{H_4}(y) = w,\ \lambda_{H_4}(w) = -y.$$

By Proposition 4.8, $A \otimes H_4$ is a non commutative, non cocommutative Hopf quasigroup and the morphism $\tau : A \otimes H_4 \to \mathbb{F}$ defined by

$$\tau(\sigma_i u^\alpha \otimes z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad z = 1 \\ (-1)^\alpha & \text{if} \quad z = x \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad z = y, w \end{cases}$$

is a skew pairing such that $\tau = \tau^{-1}$. Then, by Proposition 4.8,

$$\omega = \varepsilon_A \otimes (\tau \circ c_{H_4,A}) \otimes \varepsilon_{H_4}$$

is a 2-cocycle with convolution inverse $\omega^{-1} = \omega$. Finally, $A \bowtie_\tau H_4$ is Hopf quasigroup isomorphic to $(A \otimes H_4)^\omega$.

5. Double crossproducts and skew pairings

In this section, we will show that the construction of $A \bowtie_\tau H$ introduced in the previous section, is also a special case of the double cross product defined in [24]. First of all, we need to recall some definitions, following [8], [9] and [19], to state a characterization of double cross products in the quasigroup setting.

Definition 5.1. Let H be a left Hopf quasigroup. We say that (M, φ_M) is a left H-quasimodule if M is an object in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi_M : H \otimes M \to M$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ (called the action) satisfying

$$\varphi_M \circ (\eta_H \otimes M) = id_M, \tag{98}$$

$$\varphi_M \circ (H \otimes \varphi_M) \circ (((H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes M) = \varepsilon_H \otimes M = \varphi_M \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \varphi_M) \circ (\delta_H \otimes M). \tag{99}$$

Given two left H-quasimodules (M, φ_M) and (N, φ_N), $f : M \to N$ is a morphism of left H-quasimodules if

$$\varphi_N \circ (H \otimes f) = f \circ \varphi_M. \tag{100}$$

We denote the category of left H-quasimodules by ${}_H\mathcal{QC}$.

If (M, φ_M) and (N, φ_N) are left H-quasimodules, the tensor product $M \otimes N$ is a left H-quasimodule with the diagonal action

$$\varphi_{M\otimes N} = (\varphi_M \otimes \varphi_N) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,M} \otimes N) \circ (\delta_H \otimes M \otimes N).$$

This makes the category of left H-quasimodules into a strict monoidal category $({}_H\mathcal{QC}, \otimes, K)$ (see Remark 3.3 of [9]).

We will say that a unital magma A is a left H-quasimodule magma if it is a left H-quasimodule with action $\varphi_A : H \otimes A \to A$ and the following equalities

$$\varphi_A \circ (H \otimes \eta_A) = \varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_A, \tag{101}$$

$$\mu_A \circ \varphi_{A\otimes A} = \varphi_A \circ (H \otimes \mu_A), \tag{102}$$

hold, i.e., φ_A is a morphism of unital magmas.

A comonoid A is a left H-quasimodule comonoid if it is a left H-quasimodule with action φ_A and

$$\varepsilon_A \circ \varphi_A = \varepsilon_H \otimes \varepsilon_A, \tag{103}$$

$$\delta_A \circ \varphi_A = \varphi_{A\otimes A} \circ (H \otimes \delta_A), \tag{104}$$

hold, i.e., φ_A is a comonoid morphism.

Replacing (99) by the equality

$$\varphi_M \circ (H \otimes \varphi_M) = \varphi_M \circ (\mu_H \otimes M), \tag{105}$$

we obtain the definition of left H-module and the ones of left H-module magma and comonoid (because (99) follows trivially from (105)). Note that (H, μ_H) is not an H-module but an H-quasimodule. The morphism between left H-modules is defined as for H-quasimodules and we denote the category of left H-modules by ${}_H\mathcal{C}$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let A, H be (right) left Hopf quasigroups and let $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. Define $\varphi_A : H \otimes A \to A$ and $\phi_H : H \otimes A \to H$ as*

$$\varphi_A = (\tau \otimes A \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \delta_A \otimes H) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}$$

and

$$\phi_H = (\tau \otimes H \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes \delta_H) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}.$$

Then

(i) *The pair (A, φ_A) is a left H-module comonoid.*
(ii) *If the (right) left antipode of H is an isomorphism, the pair (H, ϕ_H) is a right A-module comonoid.*
(iii) *If H is a Hopf quasigroup, the pair (H, ϕ_H) is a right A-module comonoid.*

Proof. We prove the result for left Hopf quasigroups. The proof for right Hopf quasigroups is similar and is left to the reader. Trivially, by (3) for H, (a3) of Definition 4.1, (80) and the counit properties we obtain $\varphi_A \circ (\eta_H \otimes A) = id_A$. The equality $\varepsilon_A \circ \varphi_A = \varepsilon_H \otimes \varepsilon_A$ follows by the counit properties, the invertibility of τ and the naturality of c. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_A \circ (\mu_H \otimes A)\\
&= ((\tau \circ (A \otimes \mu_H)) \otimes A \otimes (\tau^{-1} \circ (A \otimes \mu_H))) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes H \otimes \delta_A \otimes H \otimes H) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H\otimes H} \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H)\\
&\circ (H \otimes c_{H,A}) \text{ (by (a2') of Definition 4.1 and (81))}\\
&= (((\tau\otimes\tau)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ((c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes H\otimes H))\otimes A\otimes((\tau^{-1}\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes H\otimes H)))\\
&\circ(A\otimes H\otimes H\otimes\delta_A\otimes H\otimes H)\circ\delta_{A\otimes H\otimes H}\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,A}) \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and coassociativity)}\\
&= \varphi_A \circ (H \otimes \varphi_A) \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and (4))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varphi_A \otimes \varphi_A) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}\\
&= (A \otimes (\tau^{-1} * \tau) \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes c_{A,H}) \circ (A \otimes \delta_A \otimes H) \circ (\delta_A \otimes H \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (\tau \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}\\
&\text{(by naturality of } c \text{ and coassociativity)}\\
&= \delta_A \circ \varphi_A \text{ (by naturality of } c\text{, invertibility of } \tau\text{, and counit properties)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof for (*ii*) follows a similar pattern but using (a1) of Definition 4.1 and (90) instead of (a2') and (81). By Proposition 4.6, we obtain (iii) because in the quasigroup setting condition (90) is true without the assumption of that λ_H be an isomorphism.

□

The following result is a version of [24], Theorem 7.2.2 for left Hopf quasigroups (see also [18], Theorem IX.2.3).

Theorem 5.3. *Let A, H be left Hopf quasigroups with left antipodes λ_A, λ_H respectively. Assume that (A, φ_A) is a left H-module comonoid and (H, ϕ_H) is a right A-module comonoid. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *The double crossproduct $A \bowtie H$ built on the object $A \otimes H$ with product*

$$\mu_{A\bowtie H} = (\mu_A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (A \otimes \varphi_A \otimes \phi_H \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_{H\otimes A} \otimes H)$$

and tensor product unit, counit and coproduct, is a left Hopf quasigroup with left antipode

$$\lambda_{A\bowtie H} = (\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_A) \circ c_{A,H}.$$

(ii) *The equalities*

$$\varphi_A \circ (H \otimes \eta_A) = \varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_A, \tag{106}$$

$$\phi_H \circ (\eta_H \otimes A) = \eta_H \otimes \varepsilon_A, \tag{107}$$

$$(\phi_H \otimes \varphi_A) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A} = c_{A,H} \circ (\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}, \tag{108}$$

$$\varphi_A \circ (H \otimes \mu_A) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_A \otimes A) = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes \varphi_A) \circ ((\lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes A), \tag{109}$$

$$\mu_H \circ (\phi_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes ((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}) \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes A \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H \otimes \varepsilon_A \otimes H, \tag{110}$$

$$\mu_H \circ (\phi_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes ((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}) \otimes H) \circ (((H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes A \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H \otimes \varepsilon_A \otimes H, \tag{111}$$

hold.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ First of all, we have

$$id_{A\otimes H} = ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\varphi_A \circ (H \otimes \eta_A)))) \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) \tag{112}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}
&id_{A\otimes H}\\
&= \mu_{A\bowtie H} \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \eta_{A\otimes H}) \text{ (by unit properties)}\\
&= ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\varphi_A \circ (H \otimes \eta_A)))) \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) \text{ (by (3) for } A\text{, (98) for } \phi_H\text{, and the properties of } \eta_A).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, composing with $A \otimes \varepsilon_H$ on the left side and with $\eta_A \otimes H$ on the right side of the equality (112) we get (106). In a similar way the identity $\mu_{A\bowtie H} \circ (\eta_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H) = id_{A\otimes H}$ leads to (107). As far as (108), it can be obtained by composing with $\eta_A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes \eta_H$ on the right and with $\varepsilon_A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes \varepsilon_H$ on the left in the two terms of the equality

$$\delta_{A\otimes H} \circ \mu_{A\bowtie H} = (\mu_{A\bowtie H} \otimes \mu_{A\bowtie H}) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes c_{A\otimes H,A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H) \circ (\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H}).$$

Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\phi_H \otimes \varphi_A) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}\\
&= ((((\varepsilon_A \circ \varphi_A) \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}) \otimes ((\varphi_A \otimes (\varepsilon_H \circ \phi_H)) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A})) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \text{ (by (103) for } \varphi_A \text{ and } \phi_H\text{, naturality of } c \text{ and counit properties)}\\
&= (\varepsilon_A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ (\mu_{A\bowtie H} \otimes \mu_{A\bowtie H}) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes c_{A\otimes H,A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H) \circ (\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H})\\
&\quad \circ (\eta_A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes \eta_H) \text{ (by (3) for } A \text{ and } H\text{, naturality of } c \text{ and the unit properties)}.\\
&= (\varepsilon_A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ \mu_{A\bowtie H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes \eta_H) \text{ (by (4) for } A \bowtie_\tau H)\\
&= c_{A,H} \circ (\varphi_A \circ \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A} \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and the unit and counit properties)}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $A \bowtie H$ is a left Hopf quasigroup with left antipode $\lambda_{A\bowtie H}$, (27) holds. Then, we have

$$\mu_{A\bowtie H} \circ (\lambda_{A\bowtie H} \otimes \mu_{A\bowtie H}) \circ (\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H) = \varepsilon_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H. \tag{113}$$

Composing with $A \otimes \varepsilon_H$ on the left and $A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes \eta_H$ on the right in the two terms of the equality (113) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_A \otimes \varepsilon_H \otimes A = \qquad\qquad\qquad\

$= \mu_A \circ (A \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (\lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes \mu_A) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes A) \circ (\delta_A \otimes ((H \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (\delta_H \otimes A)))$ (by (2) for H, (103) for ϕ_H, the naturality of c and counit properties).

$= \mu_A \circ (A \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_A)) \otimes A) \circ (H \otimes ((A \otimes \mu_A) \circ (\delta_A \otimes A))) \circ (c_{A,H} \otimes A)$
$\circ (A \otimes ((H \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (\delta_H \otimes A)))$ (by the naturality of c).

Having into account that $(H \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (\delta_H \otimes A)$ and $(A \otimes \mu_A) \circ (\delta_A \otimes A)$ are isomorphisms with inverses $(H \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes A) \circ (\delta_H \otimes A)$ and $(A \otimes \mu_A) \circ (A \otimes \lambda_A \otimes A) \circ (\delta_A \otimes A)$, respectively, we have

$\mu_A \circ (A \otimes \varphi_A) \circ ((\lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes A)$
$\mu_A \circ (A \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_A)) \otimes A)$ (by $c^2 = id$)

$= \mu_A \circ (A \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_A)) \otimes A) \circ (H \otimes ((A \otimes \mu_A) \circ (\delta_A \otimes A))) \circ (c_{A,H} \otimes A)$
$\circ (A \otimes ((H \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (\delta_H \otimes A))) \circ (A \otimes ((H \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes A) \circ (\delta_H \otimes A))) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes A) \circ (H \otimes ((A \otimes \mu_A)$
$\circ (A \otimes \lambda_A \otimes A) \circ (\delta_A \otimes A))$ (by composition with the inverses)

$= (\varepsilon_A \otimes \varepsilon_H \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes (((H \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes A) \circ (\delta_H \otimes A)))) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes A) \circ (H \otimes ((A \otimes \mu_A)$
$\circ (A \otimes \lambda_A \otimes A) \circ (\delta_A \otimes A)))$ (by (114))

$= \varphi_A \circ (H \otimes \mu_A) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_A \otimes A)$ (by naturality of c and counit properties).

Therefore, (109) holds. Now we show (110): Composing with $\varepsilon_A \otimes H$ on the left and with $\eta_A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes H$ on the right in the two terms of the equality (113) we get

$\varepsilon_H \otimes \varepsilon_A \otimes H$
$= \mu_H \circ (\phi_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes ((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}) \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes A \otimes H)$ (by (1), (2), (3), (19) for A, (101) (103) for φ_A, (98) for ϕ_H, naturality of c and counit properties).

Finally, by (27) for $A \bowtie_\tau H$, we have

$$\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes A \otimes H) \circ (\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H) = \varepsilon_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H. \quad (115)$$

Then, composing with $\varepsilon_A \otimes H$ on the left and $\eta_A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes H$ on the right in the two terms of the equality (115) we get

$\varepsilon_H \otimes \varepsilon_A \otimes H$
$= \mu_H \circ (\phi_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes \varphi_A \circ \phi_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes A} \otimes H) \circ (((H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes A \otimes H)$ (by (1), (2), (3), (19) for A, (101), (103), (106) for φ_A, (98) for ϕ_H, naturality of c and counit properties).

Therefore, (111) holds.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ We only prove the equalities involving the left antipode. The proof for the other conditions are analogous to the ones given in [24], Theorem 7.2.2.

$\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ (\lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}) \circ (\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H)$
$= ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes \varphi_A)) \otimes \mu_H) \circ (((((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}) \otimes A \otimes (\phi_H \circ (\phi_H \otimes A))) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A\otimes A}) \otimes H)$
$\circ (((\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_A) \circ c_{A,H}) \otimes \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}) \circ (\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H)$ (by the comonoid morphism condition for ϕ_H, coassociativity, and naturality of c)

$= ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes \varphi_A) \circ ((\lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes A)) \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\phi_H \circ (H \otimes \mu_A) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_A \otimes A)) \otimes H))$
$\circ (H \otimes ((A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes A) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes c_{A,A})) \otimes A \otimes H) \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes (c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A) \otimes \delta_A \otimes H) \circ (c_{A,H} \otimes \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H})$
$\circ (\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H)$ (by (24) for λ_H and λ_A, coassociativity, naturality of c, and condition of A-module for H)

$= ((\varphi_A \circ (H \otimes \mu_A) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_A \otimes A)) \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\phi_H \circ (H \otimes \mu_A) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_A \otimes A)) \otimes H))$
$\circ (H \otimes ((A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes A) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes c_{A,A})) \otimes A \otimes H) \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes (c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A) \otimes \delta_A \otimes H) \circ (c_{A,H} \otimes \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H})$
$\circ (\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H)$ (by (109)))

$= (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}) \otimes H) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes (\mu_A \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \mu_A) \circ (\delta_A \otimes A)) \otimes \mu_H)$
$\circ (c_{A,H} \otimes ((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}) \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H \otimes A \otimes H)$ (by (24) for λ_H and λ_A, (4) for A, and naturality of c)

$= (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}) \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes ((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}) \otimes H) \circ (\varepsilon_A \otimes \delta_H \otimes A \otimes H)$
(by (27) for A and naturality of c)

$= (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ ((\varphi_A \circ (H \otimes \varphi_A)) \otimes (\phi_H \circ (H \otimes \varphi_A)) \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_{H\otimes H\otimes A} \otimes \phi_H \otimes H) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \delta_{H\otimes A} \otimes H)$
$\circ (\varepsilon_A \otimes \delta_H \otimes A \otimes H)$ (by the condition of comonoid morphism for φ_A and naturality of c)

$= (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (A \otimes \phi_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes \varphi_A \otimes \phi_H \otimes H) \circ ((\lambda_H \otimes (\varphi_A \circ ((\lambda_H * id_H) \otimes A))$
$\circ (\delta_H \otimes A)) \otimes H \otimes A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes H) \circ (\delta_{H\otimes A} \otimes \otimes A \otimes H) \circ (\varepsilon_A \otimes \delta_{H\otimes A} \otimes H)$ (by (24) for λ_H, the condition of H-module for A, coassociativity of δ_H, and naturality of c)

$= (A\otimes(\mu_H\circ(\phi_H\otimes\mu_H)\circ(\lambda_H\otimes\varphi_A\otimes\phi_H\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes\delta_{H\otimes A}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_H\otimes A\otimes H)))\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes A\otimes H)$
$\circ(\varepsilon_A\otimes H\otimes\delta_A\otimes H)$ (by (28), the condition of H-module for A and counit properties)
$= (A\otimes\varepsilon_H\otimes\varepsilon_A\otimes H)\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(\varepsilon_A\otimes H\otimes\delta_A\otimes H)$ (by (110))
$= \varepsilon_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H$ (by counit properties and naturality of c).

On the other hand,

$\mu_{A\bowtie H}\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\mu_{A\bowtie H})\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)$
$= \mu_{A\bowtie H}\circ(A\otimes H\otimes(\mu_A\circ(A\otimes\varphi_A)\circ(((\varphi_A\otimes\phi_H)\circ\delta_{H\otimes A})\otimes A))$
$\otimes(\mu_H\circ(\phi_H\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes\mu_A\otimes H)))\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\delta_{H\otimes A\otimes A}\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes((\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_A)\circ c_{A,H})\otimes A\otimes H)$
$\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)$ (by the condition of comonoid morphism for ϕ_H, coassociativity, the condition of A-module for H, and naturality of c)
$= \mu_{A\bowtie H}\circ(A\otimes H\otimes(\mu_A\circ(A\otimes\varphi_A)\circ((\lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes A))\otimes(\mu_H\circ(\phi_H\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes\mu_A\otimes H)$
$\circ(\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_A\otimes A\otimes H)))\circ(A\otimes H\otimes H\otimes((A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes A)\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes c_{A,A}))\otimes A\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes H\otimes(c_{H,H}\circ\delta_H)\otimes(c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes\delta_A\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)$
(by (24) for the antipodes λ_A, λ_H, and naturality of c)
$= \mu_{A\bowtie H}\circ(A\otimes H\otimes((\varphi_A\circ(H\otimes\mu_A)\circ(\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_A\otimes A))\otimes((\mu_H\circ(\phi_H\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes\mu_A\otimes H)$
$\circ(\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_A\otimes A\otimes H))))\circ(A\otimes H\otimes H\otimes((A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes A)\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes c_{A,A}))\otimes A\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes H\otimes(c_{H,H}\circ\delta_H)\otimes(c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes\delta_A\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)$
(by (109))
$= \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ(A\otimes H\otimes A\otimes\mu_H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes((\varphi_A\otimes\phi_H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes A)\circ((\delta_H\circ\lambda_H)\otimes((\mu_A\otimes\mu_A)\circ\delta_{A\otimes A}$
$\circ(\lambda_A\otimes A))))\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)$ (by (24) for λ_A and λ_H, and naturality of c)
$= (\mu_A\otimes\mu_H)\circ(A\otimes((\varphi_A\otimes\phi_H)\circ\delta_{H\otimes A}\circ(H\otimes\varphi_A))\otimes(\mu_H\circ(\phi_H\otimes H)))\circ(A\otimes H\otimes(\delta_{H\otimes A}\circ(\lambda_H\otimes(\mu_A$
$\circ(\lambda_A\otimes A)))\circ(c_{A,H}\otimes A))\otimes H)\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)$ (by definition of $\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}$, and (4) for H)
$= (\mu_A\otimes\mu_H)\circ(A\otimes(\varphi_A\circ(H\otimes\varphi_A))\otimes(\phi_H\circ(H\otimes\varphi_A))\otimes\mu_H)\circ(A\otimes\delta_{H\otimes H\otimes A}\otimes\phi_H\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\delta_{H\otimes A}\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes H\otimes\mu_A\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes((\lambda_H\otimes\lambda_A)\circ c_{A,H})\otimes A\otimes H)$
$\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)$ (by the condition of comonoid morphism for φ_A)
$= (\mu_A\otimes((\mu_H\circ(\phi_H\otimes\mu_H)\circ(H\otimes\varphi_A\otimes\phi_H\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes\delta_{H\otimes A}\otimes H)\circ(((H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ\delta_H)\otimes A\otimes H))$
$\circ(A\otimes(\varphi_A\circ(\mu_H\otimes A))\otimes H\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\lambda_H\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes A\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes H\otimes(c_{H,H}\circ\delta_H)\otimes(\delta_A\circ\mu_A)\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes H\otimes\lambda_A\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes A\otimes H)$
$\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)$ (by (24) for λ_H, the condition of left H-module for A, coassociativity of the coproducts, and naturality of c)
$= ((\mu_A\circ(A\otimes\varphi_A)\circ(A\otimes\mu_H\otimes A))\otimes\varepsilon_H\otimes\varepsilon_A\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes H\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes(c_{H,H}$
$\circ(H\otimes\lambda_H)\circ\delta_H)\otimes(\delta_A\circ\mu_A\circ(\lambda_A\otimes A))\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)$ (by (111))
$= ((\mu_A\circ(A\otimes(\varphi_A\circ((\mu_H\circ(H\otimes\lambda_H))\otimes(\mu_A\circ(\lambda_A\otimes A)))\circ(H\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes A)))\circ(\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A))\otimes H)$
(by naturality of c and counit properties)
$= ((\mu_A\circ(A\otimes\varphi_A)\circ(A\otimes(id_H*\lambda_H)\otimes\mu_A)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\lambda_A\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes A)\circ(\delta_A\otimes H\otimes A))\otimes H)$
(by naturality of c)
$= ((\mu_A\circ(A\otimes\mu_A)\circ(A\otimes\lambda_A\otimes A)\circ(\delta_A\otimes A))\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes\varepsilon_H\otimes A\otimes H)$ (by (13) and (98) for φ_A)
$= \varepsilon_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H$ (by (27) for A).

□

Similarly, the following result can be proved for right Hopf quasigroups.

Theorem 5.4. *Let A, H be right Hopf quasigroups with right antipodes ϱ_A, ϱ_H, respectively. Assume that (A,φ_A) is a left H-module comonoid and (H,ϕ_H) is a right A-module comonoid. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *The double crossproduct $A\bowtie H$ built on the object $A\otimes H$ with product*

$$\mu_{A\bowtie H} = (\mu_A\otimes\mu_H)\circ(A\otimes\varphi_A\otimes\phi_H\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes\delta_{H\otimes A}\otimes H)$$

and tensor product unit, counit and coproduct, is a right Hopf quasigroup with right antipode

$$\varrho_{A\bowtie H} = (\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A} \circ (\varrho_H \otimes \varrho_A) \circ c_{A,H}.$$

(ii) *The equalities (106), (107), (108),*

$$\phi_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes A) \circ (H \otimes \varrho_H \otimes \varrho_A) = \mu_H \circ (\phi_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\varrho_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ c_{H,A})), \tag{116}$$

$$\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (A \otimes ((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}) \otimes \varrho_A) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \delta_A) = A \otimes \varepsilon_H \otimes \varepsilon_A, \tag{117}$$

$$\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (A \otimes ((\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A}) \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes ((\varrho_A \otimes A) \circ \delta_A)) = A \otimes \varepsilon_H \otimes \varepsilon_A, \tag{118}$$

hold.

As a consequence of Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 we have:

Corollary 5.5. *Let A, H be Hopf quasigroups with antipodes λ_A, λ_H, respectively. Assume that (A, φ_A) is a left H-module comonoid and (H, ϕ_H) is a right A-module comonoid. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *The double crossproduct $A \bowtie H$ built on the object $A \otimes H$ with product*

$$\mu_{A\bowtie H} = (\mu_A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (A \otimes \varphi_A \otimes \phi_H \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_{H\otimes A} \otimes H)$$

and tensor product unit, counit and coproduct, is a Hopf quasigroup with antipode

$$\lambda_{A\bowtie H} = (\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_A) \circ c_{A,H}.$$

(ii) *The equalities (106), (107), (108), (109), (110), (111), (116), (117) and (118) hold for λ_H and λ_A.*

Proof. The proof follows using Theorems 5.3 and 5.4, because in this case $\lambda_H = \varrho_H$ and $\lambda_A = \varrho_A$. □

Now we show that the construction of $A \bowtie_\tau H$ introduced in the previous section is an example of a double crossproduct.

Proposition 5.6. *Let A, H be left Hopf quasigroups with left antipodes λ_A, λ_H, such that λ_H is an isomorphism, and let $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. Then the left Hopf quasigroup $A \bowtie_\tau H$ introduced in Corollary 4.11 is the double crossproduct induced by the actions φ_A, ϕ_H defined in Proposition 5.2.*

Proof. First note that

$$(\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A} = (\tau \otimes A \otimes H \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}. \tag{119}$$

Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varphi_A \otimes \phi_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes A} \\
&= (A \otimes (\tau^{-1} * \tau) \otimes H) \circ (\tau \otimes \delta_A \otimes \delta_H \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A} \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and} \\
&\quad \text{coassociativity)} \\
&= (\tau \otimes A \otimes H \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A} \text{ (by invertibility of } \tau, \text{ naturality of } c \text{ and counit} \\
&\quad \text{properties)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, as a consequence, it is not difficult to see that $\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \mu_{A\bowtie H}$. Moreover, $\lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H}$ because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_{A\bowtie H} \\
&= (\tau \otimes \lambda_A \otimes \lambda_H \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes ((A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A) \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H)))) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \\
&\quad \circ ((c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A) \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H)) \text{ (by (24) for } \lambda_A \text{ and } \lambda_H, \text{ naturality of } c, \ c^2 = id, \text{ (86) and (87))} \\
&= (\tau^{-1} \otimes \lambda_A \otimes \lambda_H \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes c_{A\otimes H, A\otimes H}) \circ (c_{A\otimes H, A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \delta_{A\otimes H}) \circ c_{A\otimes H, A\otimes H} \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \\
&\quad \text{(by naturality of } c \text{ and } c^2 = id) \\
&= (\tau^{-1} \otimes \lambda_A \otimes \lambda_H \otimes \tau) \circ (\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes A \otimes H) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \text{ (by naturality of } c \text{ and } c^2 = id) \\
&= \lambda_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \text{ (by coasociativity)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

For the right side we have a similar result, i.e.:

Proposition 5.7. *Let A, H be right Hopf quasigroups with right antipodes ϱ_A, ϱ_H such that ϱ_H is an isomorphism, and let $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. Then the right Hopf quasigroup $A \bowtie_\tau H$ introduced in Corollary 4.12 is the double crossproduct induced by the actions φ_A, ϕ_H defined in Proposition 5.2.*

Finally, by Propositions 5.6, 5.7, and (iii) of Proposition 5.2, we have the corresponding result for quasigroups without additional conditions over the antipode of H.

Corollary 5.8. *Let A, H be Hopf quasigroups and let $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. Then the Hopf quasigroup $A \bowtie_\tau H$ introduced in Corollary 4.13 is the double crossproduct induced by the actions φ_A, ϕ_H defined in Proposition 5.2.*

6. Quasitriangular Hopf quasigroups, skew pairings, biproducts and projections

In this section we will explore the connections between Yetter-Drinfeld modules for Hopf quasigroups, projections of Hopf quasigroups, skew pairings, and quasitriangular structures, obtaining the nonassociative version of the main results proved in [1].

In the Hopf quasigroup setting the notion of left H-comodule is exactly the same as for ordinary Hopf algebras since it only depends on the comonoid structure of H. Then, we will denote a left H-comodule by (M, ρ_M) where M is an object in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\rho_M : M \to H \otimes M$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ (called the coaction) satisfying the comodule conditions:

$$(\varepsilon_H \otimes M) \circ \rho_M = id_M, \tag{120}$$

$$(H \otimes \rho_M) \circ \rho_M = (\delta_H \otimes M) \circ \rho_M. \tag{121}$$

Given two left H-comodules (M, ρ_M) and (N, ρ_N), $f : M \to N$ is a morphism of left H-comodules if $\rho_N \circ f = (H \otimes f) \circ \rho_M$. We denote the category of left H-comodules by ${}^H\mathcal{C}$.

For two left H-comodules (M, ρ_M) and (N, ρ_N), the tensor product $M \otimes N$ is a left H-comodule with the codiagonal coaction

$$\rho_{M\otimes N} = (\mu_H \otimes M \otimes N) \circ (H \otimes c_{M,H} \otimes N) \circ (\rho_M \otimes \rho_N).$$

This makes the category of left H-comodules into a strict monoidal category $({}^H\mathcal{C}, \otimes, K)$.

Moreover, we will say that a unital magma A is a left H-comodule magma if it is a left H-comodule with coaction ρ_A and the following equalities hold:

$$\rho_A \circ \eta_A = \eta_H \otimes \eta_A, \tag{122}$$

$$\rho_A \circ \mu_A = (H \otimes \mu_A) \circ \rho_{A\otimes A}. \tag{123}$$

Finally, a comonoid A is a left H-comodule comonoid if it is a a left H-comodule with coaction ρ_A and

$$(H \otimes \varepsilon_A) \circ \rho_A = \eta_H \otimes \varepsilon_A, \tag{124}$$

$$(H \otimes \delta_A) \circ \rho_A = \rho_{A\otimes A} \circ \delta_A, \tag{125}$$

hold.

Now, following [2], we recall the notion of Yetter-Drinfeld quasimodule for a Hopf quasigroup H.

Definition 6.1. Let H be a Hopf quasigroup. We say that $M = (M, \varphi_M, \rho_M)$ is a left-left Yetter-Drinfeld quasimodule over H if (M, φ_M) is a left H-quasimodule and (M, ρ_M) is a left H-comodule which satisfies the following equalities:

(b1) $(\mu_H \otimes M) \circ (H \otimes c_{M,H}) \circ ((\rho_M \circ \varphi_M) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,M}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes M)$
$= (\mu_H \otimes \varphi_M) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes M) \circ (\delta_H \otimes \rho_M)$.

(b2) $(\mu_H \otimes M) \circ (H \otimes c_{M,H}) \circ (\rho_M \otimes \mu_H)$
$= (\mu_H \otimes M) \circ (\mu_H \otimes c_{M,H}) \circ (H \otimes c_{M,H} \otimes H) \circ (\rho_M \otimes H \otimes H)$.

(b3) $(\mu_H \otimes M) \circ (H \otimes \mu_H \otimes M) \circ (H \otimes H \otimes c_{M,H}) \circ (H \otimes \rho_M \otimes H)$
$= (\mu_H \otimes M) \circ (\mu_H \otimes c_{M,H}) \circ (H \otimes \rho_M \otimes H)$.

Let M and N be two left-left Yetter-Drinfeld quasimodules over H. We say that $f : M \to N$ is a morphism of left-left Yetter-Drinfeld quasimodules if f is a morphism of H-quasimodules and H-comodules.

We shall denote by ${}^H_H\mathcal{QYD}$ the category of left-left Yetter-Drinfeld quasimodules over H. Moreover, if we assume that M is a left H-module, we say that M is a left-left Yetter-Drinfeld module over H. Obviously, left-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules with the obvious morphisms is a subcategory of ${}^H_H\mathcal{QYD}$. We will denote it by ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. Note that if H is a Hopf algebra, conditions (b2) and (b3) trivialize. In this case, ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ is the classical category of left-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H.

Let (M, φ_M, ρ_M) and (N, φ_N, ρ_N) two objects in ${}^H_H\mathcal{QYD}$. Then $M \otimes N$, with the diagonal structure $\varphi_{M\otimes N}$ and the codiagonal costructure $\rho_{M\otimes N}$, is an object in ${}^H_H\mathcal{QYD}$. Then $({}^H_H\mathcal{QYD}, \otimes, K)$ is a strict monoidal category. If moreover λ_H is an isomorphism, $({}^H_H\mathcal{YD}, \otimes, K)$ is a strict braided monoidal category where the braiding t and its inverse are defined by

$$t_{M,N} = (\varphi_N \otimes M) \circ (H \otimes c_{M,N}) \circ (\rho_M \otimes N) \tag{126}$$

and

$$t^{-1}_{M,N} = c_{N,M} \circ ((\varphi_N \circ c_{N,H}) \otimes M) \circ (N \otimes \lambda_H^{-1} \otimes M) \circ (N \otimes \rho_M),$$

respectively (see Proposition 1.8 of [2]). As a consequence we can consider Hopf quasigroups in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. The definition is the following:

Definition 6.2. Let H be a Hopf quasigroup such that its antipode is an isomorphism. Let (D, u_D, m_D) be a unital magma in $\mathcal{C}$ such that (D, e_D, Δ_D) is a comonoid in $\mathcal{C}$, and let $s_D : D \to D$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$. We say that the triple $(D, \varphi_D, \varrho_D)$ is a Hopf quasigroup in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ if:

- (c1) The triple (D, φ_D, ρ_D) is a left-left Yetter-Drinfeld H-module.
- (c2) The triple (D, u_D, m_D) is a unital magma in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, i.e., (D, u_D, m_D) is a unital magma in $\mathcal{C}$, (D, φ_D) is a left H-module magma and (D, ρ_D) is a left H-comodule magma.
- (c3) The triple (D, e_D, Δ_D) is a a comonoid in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, i.e., (D, e_D, Δ_D) is a comonoid in $\mathcal{C}$, (D, φ_D) is a left H-module comonoid and (D, ρ_D) is a left H-comodule comonoid.
- (c4) The following identities hold:
  - (c4-1) $e_D \circ u_D = id_K$,
  - (c4-2) $e_D \circ m_D = e_D \otimes e_D$,
  - (c4-3) $\Delta_D \circ e_D = e_D \otimes e_D$,
  - (c4-4) $\Delta_D \circ m_D = (m_D \otimes m_D) \circ (D \otimes t_{D,D} \otimes D) \circ (\Delta_D \otimes \Delta_D)$, where $t_{D,D}$ is the braiding of ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ for $M = N = D$.
- (c5) The following identities hold:
  - (c5-1) $m_D \circ (s_D \otimes m_D) \circ (\Delta_D \otimes D) = e_D \otimes D = m_D \circ (D \otimes m_D) \circ (D \otimes s_D \otimes D) \circ (\Delta_D \otimes D)$.
  - (c5-2) $m_D \circ (m_D \otimes D) \circ (D \otimes s_D \otimes D) \circ (D \otimes \Delta_D) = D \otimes e_D = \mu_D \circ (m_D \otimes s_D) \circ (D \otimes \Delta_D)$.

Note that under these conditions, s_D is a morphism in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ (see Lemmas 1.11, 1.12 of [2]).

By Theorem 1.14 of [2] we know that if $(D, \varphi_D, \varrho_D)$ is a Hopf quasigroup in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, then

$$D \rtimes H = (D \otimes H, \eta_{D\rtimes H}, \mu_{D\rtimes H}, \varepsilon_{D\rtimes H}, \delta_{D\rtimes H}, \lambda_{D\rtimes H})$$

is a Hopf quasigroup in $\mathcal{C}$, with the biproduct structure induced by the smash product coproduct, i.e.,

$$\eta_{D\rtimes H} = \eta_D \otimes \eta_H, \quad \mu_{D\rtimes H} = (\mu_D \otimes \mu_H) \circ (D \otimes \Psi^H_D \otimes H),$$

$$\varepsilon_{D\rtimes H} = \varepsilon_D \otimes \varepsilon_H, \quad \delta_{D\rtimes H} = (D \otimes \Gamma^H_D \otimes H) \circ (\delta_D \otimes \delta_H),$$

$$\lambda_{D\rtimes H} = \Psi^H_D \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_D) \circ \Gamma^H_D,$$

where the morphisms $\Gamma^H_D : D \otimes H \to H \otimes D$, $\Psi^H_D : H \otimes D \to D \otimes H$ are defined by

$$\Gamma^H_D = (\mu_H \otimes D) \circ (H \otimes c_{D,H}) \circ (\varrho_D \otimes H), \quad \Psi^H_D = (\varphi_D \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,D}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes D).$$

Let H and B be Hopf quasigroups and let $f : H \to B$ and $g : B \to H$ be morphisms of Hopf quasigroups such that $g \circ f = id_H$. By Proposition 2.1 of [2] we know that $q^B_H = id_B * (f \circ \lambda_H \circ g) : B \to B$ is an

idempotent morphism. Moreover, if B_H is the image of q_H^B and $p_H^B : B \to B_H$, $i_H^B : B_H \to B$ a factorization of q_H^B,

$$B_H \xrightarrow{i_H^B} B \underset{B \otimes \eta_H}{\overset{(B \otimes g) \circ \delta_B}{\rightrightarrows}} B \otimes H$$

is an equalizer diagram. As a consequence, the triple (B_H, u_{B_H}, m_{B_H}) is a unital magma where u_{B_H} and m_{B_H} are the factorizations, through the equalizer i_H^B, of the morphisms η_B and $\mu_B \circ (i_H^B \otimes i_H^B)$, respectively. Therefore, the equalities

$$u_{B_H} = p_H^B \circ \eta_B, \quad m_{B_H} = p_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (i_H^B \otimes i_H^B), \tag{127}$$

hold.

Definition 6.3. Let H be a Hopf quasigroup. A Hopf quasigroup projection over H is a triple (B, f, g) where B is a Hopf quasigroup, $f : H \to B$ and $g : B \to H$ are morphisms of Hopf quasigroups such that $g \circ f = id_H$, and the equality

$$q_H^B \circ \mu_B \otimes (B \otimes q_H^B) = q_H^B \circ \mu_B \tag{128}$$

holds.

A morphism between two Hopf quasigroup projections (B, f, g) and (B', f', g') over H is a Hopf quasigroup morphism $h : B \to B'$ such that $h \circ f = f'$, $g' \circ h = g$. Hopf quasigroup projections over H and morphisms of Hopf quasigroup projections with the obvious composition form a category, denoted by $\mathcal{P}roj(H)$.

If (B, f, g) is a Hopf quasigroup projection over H,

$$B \otimes H \underset{B \otimes \varepsilon_H}{\overset{\mu_B \circ (B \otimes f)}{\rightrightarrows}} B \xrightarrow{p_H^B} B_H$$

is a coequalizer diagram. Moreover, the triple $(B_H, e_{B_H}, \Delta_{B_H})$ is a comonoid, where e_{B_H} and Δ_{B_H} are the factorizations, through the coequalizer p_H^B, of the morphisms ε_B and $(p_H^B \otimes p_H^B) \circ \delta_B$, respectively. Moreover, the equalities

$$e_{B_H} = \varepsilon_B \circ i_H^B, \quad \Delta_{B_H} = (p_H^B \otimes p_H^B) \circ \delta_B \circ i_H^B, \tag{129}$$

hold (see Proposition 2.3 of [2]).

Definition 6.4. Let H be a Hopf quasigroup. We say that a Hopf quasigroup projection (B, f, g) over H is strong if it satisfies

$$p_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (B \otimes \mu_B) \circ (i_H^B \otimes f \otimes i_H^B) = p_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (\mu_B \otimes B) \circ (i_H^B \otimes f \otimes i_H^B), \tag{130}$$

$$p_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (B \otimes \mu_B) \circ (f \otimes i_H^B \otimes i_H^B) = p_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (\mu_B \otimes B) \circ (f \otimes i_H^B \otimes i_H^B), \tag{131}$$

$$p_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (B \otimes \mu_B) \circ (f \otimes f \otimes i_H^B) = p_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (\mu_B \otimes B) \circ (f \otimes f \otimes i_H^B). \tag{132}$$

Note that, by the factorization of q_H^B, we have that (130), (131), and (132) are equivalent to

$$q_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (B \otimes \mu_B) \circ (i_H^B \otimes f \otimes i_H^B) = q_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (\mu_B \otimes B) \circ (i_H^B \otimes f \otimes i_H^B), \tag{133}$$

$$q_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (B \otimes \mu_B) \circ (f \otimes i_H^B \otimes i_H^B) = q_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (\mu_B \otimes B) \circ (f \otimes i_H^B \otimes i_H^B), \tag{134}$$

$$q_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (B \otimes \mu_B) \circ (f \otimes f \otimes i_H^B) = q_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (\mu_B \otimes B) \circ (f \otimes f \otimes i_H^B). \tag{135}$$

We will denote by $\mathcal{SP}roj(H)$ the category of strong Hopf quasigroup projections over H. The morphisms of $\mathcal{SP}roj(H)$ are the morphisms of $\mathcal{P}roj(H)$.

Let H be a Hopf quasigroup with invertible antipode. By Proposition 2.7 of [2], if D is a Hopf quasigroup in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, the triple $(D \rtimes H, f = \eta_D \otimes H, g = \varepsilon_D \otimes H)$ is a strong Hopf quasigroup projection over H. In this case $q_H^{D\rtimes H} = D \otimes \eta_H \otimes \varepsilon_H$. As a consequence, we can choose $p_H^{D\rtimes H} = D \otimes \varepsilon_H$ and $i_H^{D\rtimes H} = D \otimes \eta_H$ and then $(D \rtimes H)_H = D$.

On the other hand, by Corollary 2.10 and Proposition 2.5 of [2], we can assure that, if (B, f, g) is a strong Hopf quasigroup projection over H, the triple $(B_H, \varphi_{B_H}, \varrho_{B_H})$ is a Hopf quasigroup in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, where the magma-comonoid structure is defined by (127) and (129),

$$\varphi_{B_H} = p_H^B \circ \mu_B \circ (f \otimes i_H^B), \quad \rho_{B_H} = (g \otimes p_H^B) \circ \delta_B \circ i_H^B, \tag{136}$$

and

$$s_{B_H} = p_H^B \circ ((f \circ g) * \lambda_B) \circ i_H^B. \tag{137}$$

Moreover, $w = \mu_B \circ (i_H^B \otimes f) : B_H \rtimes H \to B$ is an isomorphism of Hopf quasigroups in $\mathcal{C}$ with inverse $w^{-1} = (p_H^B \otimes g) \circ \delta_B$ (see Propositions 2.8 and 2.9 of [2]). Therefore there exists an equivalence between the categories $\mathcal{SP}roj(H)$ and the category of Hopf quasigroups in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ (see Theorem 2.11 of [2]).

In the final part of the paper we will prove that we can construct examples of strong projections, and then of Hopf quasigroups in a braided setting, by working with quasitriangular structures and skew pairings. First we will introduce the notion of quasitriangular Hopf quasigroup.

Definition 6.5. Let H be a Hopf quasigroup. We will say that H is quasitriangular if there exists a morphism $R : K \to H \otimes H$ such that:

(d1) $(\delta_H \otimes H) \circ R = (H \otimes H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (R \otimes R)$,
(d2) $(H \otimes \delta_H) \circ R = (\mu_H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (R \otimes R)$,
(d3) $\mu_{H\otimes H} \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes R) = \mu_{H\otimes H} \circ (R \otimes \delta_H)$,
(d4) $(\varepsilon_H \otimes H) \circ R = (H \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ R = \eta_H$.

In the Hopf algebra setting, the morphism R is convolution invertible with inverse $R^{-1} = (\lambda_H \otimes H) \circ R$ and $R = (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ R$. In our nonassociative context we have that if $S = (\lambda_H \otimes H) \circ R$ and $T = (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ R$, the following identities hold:

$$R * S = S * R = \eta_{H\otimes H}, \tag{138}$$

$$S * T = T * S = \eta_{H\otimes H}. \tag{139}$$

Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}
&R * S \\
&= ((\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H)) \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (R \otimes R) \text{ (by naturality of } c) \\
&= ((id_H * \lambda_H) \otimes H) \circ R \text{ (by (d1) of Definition 6.5)} \\
&= ((\varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_H) \otimes H) \circ R \text{ (by (13))} \\
&= \eta_{H\otimes H} \text{ (by (d4) of Definition 6.5).}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we prove $S * R = \eta_{H\otimes H}$ using (28) instead of (13). On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&S * T \\
&= ((\mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H)) \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H))) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (R \otimes R) \text{ (by naturality of } c) \\
&= ((\lambda_H \circ \mu_H \circ c_{H,H}) \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H))) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (R \otimes R) \text{ (by (31))} \\
&= ((\lambda_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H})) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (R \otimes R) \text{ (by naturality of } c) \\
&= (\lambda_H \otimes (id_H * \lambda_H)) \circ R \text{ (by (d2) of Definition 6.5)} \\
&= (\lambda_H \otimes (\varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_H)) \circ R \text{ (by (13))} \\
&= \eta_{H\otimes H} \text{ (by (d4) of Definition 6.5 and (19)).}
\end{aligned}$$

The proof for $T * S = \eta_{H\otimes H}$ is similar using (28) instead of (13).

Note that, by the lack of associativity, we can not assure that the morphism S be unique.

Finally, the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mu_H \otimes H \otimes (\mu_H \circ c_{H,H})) \circ (H \otimes H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ (H \otimes \mu_H)) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (R \otimes R \otimes R) \\
&\qquad = (\mu_H \otimes \mu_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (R \otimes R \otimes R),
\end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

holds because

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mu_H \otimes H \otimes (\mu_H \circ c_{H,H})) \circ (H \otimes H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ (H \otimes \mu_H)) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (R \otimes R \otimes R) \\
&= (H \otimes (((\mu_H \circ c_{H,H}) \otimes (\mu_H \circ c_{H,H})) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H))) \circ (((\mu_H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \\
&\quad \circ (R \otimes R)) \otimes R) \text{ (by naturality of } c) \\
&= (H \otimes (((\mu_H \circ c_{H,H}) \otimes (\mu_H \circ c_{H,H})) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H))) \circ (((H \otimes \delta_H) \circ R) \otimes R) \text{ (by (d2) of Definition 6.5)} \\
&= (H \otimes (\mu_{H\otimes H} \circ c_{H\otimes H, H\otimes H} \circ (\delta_H \otimes R))) \circ R \text{ (by } c^2 = id) \\
&= (H \otimes (\mu_{H\otimes H} \circ (R \otimes \delta_H))) \circ R \text{ (by naturality of } c) \\
&= (H \otimes (\mu_{H\otimes H} \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes R))) \circ R \text{ (by (d3) of Definition 6.5)} \\
&= (H \otimes \mu_{H\otimes H}) \circ (\mu_H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ c_{H,H}) \otimes R) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (R \otimes R) \text{ (by (d2) of Definition 6.5)} \\
&= (\mu_H \otimes \mu_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (R \otimes R \otimes R) \text{ (by naturality of } c).
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 6.6. *Let A, H be Hopf quasigroups and let $\tau : A \otimes H \to K$ be a skew pairing. Assume that H is quasitriangular with morphism R. Let $A \bowtie_\tau H$ be the Hopf quasigroup defined in Corollary 4.13. Define the morphism $g : A \bowtie_\tau H \to H$ by*

$$g = (\tau \otimes \mu_H) \circ (A \otimes R \otimes H).$$

Then, g is a morphism of unital magmas if and only if the following equalities hold:

$$\mu_H \circ (g \otimes H) = g \circ (A \otimes \mu_H), \tag{141}$$

$$\mu_H \circ (H \otimes g) = \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \circ H) \circ (H \otimes ((\tau \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes R)) \otimes H). \tag{142}$$

Proof. Assume that $g = (\tau \otimes \mu_H) \circ (A \otimes R \otimes H)$ is a magma morphism. Then,

$$g \circ \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \mu_H \circ (g \otimes g) \tag{143}$$

holds. As a consequence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&g \circ (A \otimes \mu_H) \\
&= g \circ \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \eta_A \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c\text{, (3), (a3) of Definition 4.1, (83), and unit and counit properties)} \\
&= \mu_H \circ (g \otimes g) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \eta_A \otimes H) \text{ (by (143))} \\
&= \mu_H \circ (g \otimes H) \text{ (by (a3) of Definition 4.1, (d4) of Definition 6.5, and unit properties).}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (141) holds. On the other hand, the proof for (142) is the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mu_H \circ (H \otimes g) \\
&= \mu_H \circ ((g \circ (\eta_A \otimes H)) \otimes g) \text{ (by (a3) of Definition 4.1 and unit properties)} \\
&= g \circ \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes H) \text{ (by (143))} \\
&= (\tau \otimes (g \circ (A \otimes \mu_H))) \circ (((\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes H) \text{ (by unit properties)} \\
&= (\tau \otimes (\mu_H \circ (g \otimes H))) \circ (((\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes H) \text{ (by (141))} \\
&= ((\tau \circ (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ c_{H,H}))) \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H))) \circ (A \otimes ((H \otimes R \otimes H) \circ \delta_H) \otimes \tau^{-1} \otimes H) \circ ((\delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes H) \\
&\quad \text{(by (78))} \\
&= (\tau \otimes \mu_H) \circ (((A \otimes (\mu_{H\otimes H} \circ (R \otimes \delta_H)) \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c) \\
&= (\tau \otimes \mu_H) \circ (((A \otimes (\mu_{H\otimes H} \circ ((c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes (R \circ \tau^{-1})))) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes H) \text{ (by (d3) of Definition 6.5)} \\
&= \mu_H \circ (((\tau \otimes \tau \otimes \mu_H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A) \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \otimes (R \circ \tau^{-1})) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes H) \\
&\quad \text{(by (a2') of Definition 4.1)} \\
&= \mu_H \circ (((\tau \otimes (\mu_H \circ c_{H,H}) \otimes (\tau * \tau^{-1})) \circ (A \otimes R \otimes H \otimes A \otimes H) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c) \\
&= (\tau \otimes (\mu_H \circ ((\mu_H \circ c_{H,H}) \otimes H))) \circ (A \otimes R \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c\text{, invertibility of } \tau \\
&\quad \text{and counit properties)} \\
&= \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes ((\tau \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes R)) \otimes H) \text{ (by naturality of } c)
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, assume that (141) and (142) hold. Firstly note that $g \circ \eta_{A\bowtie_\tau H} = \eta_H$ follows by (a4) of Definition 4.1, (d4) of Definition 6.5, and the unit properties. Secondly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&g \circ \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \\
&= \mu_H \circ ((g \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes ((((\tau \otimes A \otimes H) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H}) \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}))) \otimes H) \text{ (by (141))} \\
&= (((\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes \delta_H)) \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H))) \circ (A \otimes \tau \otimes A \otimes R \otimes H \otimes \tau^{-1} \otimes H)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\circ(A\otimes((\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes A\otimes H)\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes H)$ (by (a1) of Definition 4.1)
$=\mu_H\circ(((\tau\otimes\tau\otimes(\mu_H\circ(\mu_H\otimes H))\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes\tau\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H\otimes H\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes\otimes A\otimes R\otimes R\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes\delta_A\otimes\delta_H\otimes\tau^{-1}\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes(\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes H)$
(by (d1) of Definition 6.5)
$=\mu_H\circ((\mu_H\circ(((\tau\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes R))\otimes((((\tau\otimes\tau)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ((c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes c_{H,H}))\otimes\mu_H)$
$\circ(A\otimes((H\otimes R\otimes H)\circ\delta_H)))))\otimes\tau^{-1}\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes(\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes H)$ (by naturality of c, $c^2=id$, and (142))
$=\mu_H\circ((\mu_H\circ(((\tau\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes R))\otimes((\tau\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes((((\mu_H\circ c_{H,H})\otimes\mu_H))\circ(H\otimes R\otimes H)$
$\circ\delta_H)))))\otimes\tau^{-1}\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes(\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes H)$ (by (a2') of Definition 4.1)
$=\mu_H\circ((\mu_H\circ(((\tau\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes R))\otimes((\tau\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes((\mu_{H\otimes H}\circ(R\otimes\delta_H)))))))\otimes\tau^{-1}\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes(\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes H)$ (by naturality of c and $c^2=id$)
$=\mu_H\circ((\mu_H\circ(((\tau\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes R))\otimes((\tau\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes((\mu_{H\otimes H}\circ((c_{H,H}\circ\delta_H)\otimes R)))))))\otimes\tau^{-1}\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes(\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes H)$ (by (d3) of Definition 6.5)
$=\mu_H\circ((\mu_H\circ(((\tau\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes R))\otimes((\tau\otimes\tau\otimes\mu_H)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ((c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes(c_{H,H}$
$\circ\delta_H)\otimes R))))\otimes\tau^{-1}\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes(\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes H)$ (by (a2') of Definition 4.1)
$=\mu_H\circ((\mu_H\circ(((\tau\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes R))\otimes((\tau\otimes(\mu_H\circ c_{H,H}))\circ(A\otimes R\otimes H))))\otimes(\tau*\tau^{-1})\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes(\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes H)$ (by naturality of c)
$=\mu_H\circ((g\circ(A\otimes\mu_H))\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes((\tau\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes R))\otimes H)$ (by invertibility of τ and counit properties)
$=\mu_H\circ((\mu_H\circ(g\otimes(((\tau\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes R)))))\otimes H)$ (by (141))
$=\mu_H\circ(g\otimes g)$ (by (142))

and then, g is a morphism of unital magmas.

□

Theorem 6.7. *Let A, H be Hopf quasigroups and let $\tau:A\otimes H\to K$ be a skew pairing. Assume that H is quasitriangular with morphism R. Let $A\bowtie_\tau H$ be the Hopf quasigroup defined in Corollary 4.13 and let $g:A\bowtie_\tau H\to H$ be the morphism introduced in Proposition 6.6. Define the morphism $f:H\to A\bowtie_\tau H$ by $f=\eta_A\otimes H$. Then, if (141) and (142) hold, the triple $(A\bowtie_\tau H,f,g)$ is a strong Hopf quasigroup projection over H.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.6 we know that g is a morphism of unital magmas. Also, by (2), (d4) of Definition 6.5 and (a3) of Definition 4.1, we obtain that $\varepsilon_H\circ g=\varepsilon_{A\bowtie_\tau H}$. Moreover,

$\delta_H\circ g$
$=(\tau\otimes(\mu_{H\otimes H}\circ(\delta_H\otimes\delta_H)))\circ(A\otimes R\otimes H)$ (by (4))
$=(\tau\otimes\tau\otimes\mu_{H\otimes H})\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H\otimes H)\circ((c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes((H\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(R\otimes R))\otimes\delta_H)$
(by (d2) of Definition 6.5, and (a2') of Definition 4.1)
$=(g\otimes g)\circ\delta_{A\bowtie_\tau H}$ (by naturality of c and $c^2=id$).

Therefore g is a comonoid morphism. On the other hand, trivially $f\circ\eta_H=\eta_{A\bowtie_\tau H}$ and $\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ(f\otimes f)=f\circ\mu_H$ follows easily by naturality of c, (3), (a4) of Definition 4.1, (79) and unit and counit properties. By (1) it is clear that $\varepsilon_{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ f=\varepsilon_H$, and the identity $\delta_{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ f=(f\otimes f)\circ\delta_H$ can be proved using (3) and the naturality of c. As a consequence, f is a morphism of unital magmas and comonoids. By (a4) of Definition 4.1 and (d4) of Definition 6.5 an easy computation shows that $g\circ f=id_H$.

Our next goal is to obtain a simple expression for the idempotent morphism

$$q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}=id_{A\bowtie_\tau H}*(f\circ\lambda_H\circ g):A\bowtie_\tau H\to A\bowtie_\tau H.$$

Indeed, the equality

$$q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}=(A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R\otimes\varepsilon_H)\tag{144}$$

holds because

$q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}$
$=(A\otimes(\mu_H\circ(H\otimes(\lambda_H\circ g))))\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}$ (by naturality of c, (3), (a4) of Definition 4.1, (79) and unit and counit properties)

$= (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \tau \otimes (\mu_H \circ c_{H,H} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H))) \circ (H \otimes A \otimes R \otimes H))) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H}$ (by (31))
$= (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ (\tau \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H))) \circ (\delta_A \otimes R \otimes H)$ (by naturality of c)
$= (A \otimes \tau \otimes \lambda_H) \circ (\delta_A \otimes R \otimes \varepsilon_H)$ (by (27)).

Then, using (144), we can prove that $(A \bowtie_\tau H, f, g)$ is a Hopf quasigroup projection over H. Indeed:
$q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ (A \otimes H \otimes q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})$
$= (A \otimes \tau \otimes H) \circ ((\delta_A \circ \mu_A) \otimes ((H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ R)) \circ (A \otimes ((\tau \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (\delta_A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes H)$
$\circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes \varepsilon_H)$ (by (2), (18), (d4) of Definition 6.5, (a3) of Definition 4.1 and counit properties)
$= q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}$ (by (2) and counit properties).

Note that, by (3), the naturality of c, (79), (a4) of Definition 4.1 and unit and counit properties, we have the equality

$$\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \eta_A \otimes H) = \mu_H \tag{145}$$

and, by unit and counit properties and (2), the identity

$$q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ (A \otimes H \otimes A \otimes (\eta_H \circ \varepsilon_H)) = q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \tag{146}$$

holds.

To finish the proof it is sufficient to show that the projection is strong, i.e., , (133), (134), and (135) hold. Let us first prove (133):
$q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ (A \otimes H \otimes \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}) \circ (i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes f \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})$
$= (A \otimes \tau \otimes \lambda_H) \circ ((\delta_A \circ \mu_A) \otimes (R \circ \tau^{-1})) \circ (A \otimes ((((\tau \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H}) \circ (\delta_A \otimes H)) \otimes A \otimes H) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H}))$
$\circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes ((((\tau \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H}) \circ (\delta_A \otimes H)) \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes \varepsilon_H)$
$\circ (H \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})))$ (by (2), (146) and unit and counit properties)
$= (A \otimes \tau \otimes \lambda_H) \circ ((\delta_A \circ \mu_A) \otimes (R \circ ((\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ ((c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A) \otimes H \otimes H))))$
$\circ (A \otimes (((c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A) \otimes H \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes H \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes (((\delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes \varepsilon_H)$
$\circ (H \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})))$ (by naturality of c, coassociativity and $c^2 = id$)
$= (A \otimes \tau \otimes \lambda_H) \circ ((\delta_A \circ \mu_A) \otimes (R \circ \tau \circ (A \otimes \mu_H))) \circ (A \otimes (((c_{A,A} \circ \delta_A) \otimes H \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes H \otimes \tau^{-1})$
$\circ (i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes (((\delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ (H \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})))$ (by (a2') of Definition 4.1)
$= (A \otimes ((\tau \otimes \lambda_H) \circ (A \otimes R)) \circ ((\delta_A \circ \mu_A) \otimes ((\tau^{-1} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_A \otimes H \otimes H))) \circ (A \otimes ((((\tau \otimes \delta_A)$
$\circ (A \otimes c_{A,H}) \circ (\delta_A \otimes \mu_H)) \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_{H\otimes H}) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H))) \circ (i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes ((c_{H,A} \otimes \varepsilon_H)$
$\circ (H \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})))$ (by naturality of c, coassociativity and $c^2 = id$)
$= (A \otimes ((\tau \otimes \lambda_H) \circ (A \otimes R)) \circ ((\delta_A \circ \mu_A) \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes ((((\tau \otimes \delta_A) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H})) \otimes H)$
$\circ (\delta_A \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H))) \circ (i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes ((c_{H,A} \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ (H \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})))$ (by (81))
$= (A \otimes ((\tau \otimes \lambda_H) \circ (A \otimes R)) \circ ((\delta_A \circ \mu_A) \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes ((((\tau \otimes \delta_A) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H})) \otimes H)$
$\circ (\delta_A \otimes (\delta_H \circ \mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H))) \circ (i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes ((c_{H,A} \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ (H \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})))$ (by (4))
$= (A \otimes ((\tau \otimes \lambda_H) \circ (A \otimes R)) \circ ((\delta_A \circ \mu_A) \otimes (\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H)) \circ (A \otimes ((((\tau \otimes A \otimes H) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H}) \otimes \tau^{-1})$
$\circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes H) \circ (((A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes H)) \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})$ (by (2) and counit properties)
$= q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ (\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes A \otimes H) \circ (i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes f \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})$ (by (145)).

Secondly, we will prove (134):
$q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ \mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \circ (\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes A \otimes H) \circ (f \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})$
$= (A \otimes \tau \otimes \lambda_H) \circ ((\delta_A \circ \mu_A) \otimes R) \circ (A \otimes ((((\tau \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H}) \circ (\delta_A \otimes H)) \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}))$
$\circ (((\tau \otimes A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (((\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}) \otimes H)) \otimes A) \circ (H \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes ((A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$
(by (2) and unit and counit properties)
$= (A \otimes \tau \otimes \lambda_H) \circ ((\delta_A \circ \mu_A) \otimes R) \circ (A \otimes ((((\tau \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H}) \circ (\delta_A \otimes H)) \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H)$
$\circ (\delta_A \otimes (\delta_H \circ \mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H))) \circ (((\tau \otimes A \otimes H) \circ (((\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}))) \otimes c_{H,A})$
$\circ (H \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes ((A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$ (by naturality of c)
$= (A \otimes \tau \otimes \lambda_H) \circ ((\delta_A \circ \mu_A) \otimes R) \circ (A \otimes ((((\tau \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H}) \circ (\delta_A \otimes H)) \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes c_{A,H} \otimes H)$
$\circ (\delta_A \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ \delta_{H\otimes H})) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H))) \circ (((\tau \otimes A \otimes H) \circ (((\delta_{A\otimes H} \otimes \tau^{-1}) \circ \delta_{A\otimes H} \circ c_{H,A}))) \otimes c_{H,A})$
$\circ (H \otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H} \otimes ((A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$ (by (4))

$= (A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ((\delta_A\circ\mu_A)\otimes(R\circ\tau^{-1}\circ(A\otimes\mu_H)))\circ(A\otimes(((\tau\circ(A\otimes\mu_H))\otimes A\otimes A\otimes H\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes c_{A\otimes A,H\otimes H}\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(((\delta_A\otimes A)\circ\delta_A)\otimes\delta_{H\otimes H})\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes H)))\circ(((\tau\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(((\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes\tau^{-1})$
$\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})))\otimes c_{H,A})\circ(H\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$ (by naturality of c)

$= (A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ((\delta_A\circ\mu_A)\otimes(R\circ\tau^{-1}\circ(A\otimes\mu_H)))\circ(A\otimes((((\tau\otimes\tau)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ((c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)$
$\otimes H\otimes H))\otimes A\otimes A\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes c_{A\otimes A,H\otimes H}\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(((\delta_A\otimes A)\circ\delta_A)\otimes\delta_{H\otimes H})\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes H)))$
$\circ(((\tau\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(((\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})))\otimes c_{H,A})\circ(H\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$
(by (a2') of Definition 4.1)

$= (((\tau\otimes\tau)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes A\otimes\delta_H))\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R)))\circ(A\otimes((A\otimes c_{A,H})$
$\circ(((A\otimes\mu_A)\circ(c_{A,A}\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\delta_A))\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}))\otimes\tau\otimes(\tau^{-1}\circ(A\otimes\mu_H)))$
$\circ(\delta_A\otimes H\otimes(c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\delta_A\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes\delta_H)$
$\circ(((A\otimes\delta_H\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes c_{H,A})\circ(H\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$ (by naturality of c, coassociativity, and $c^2=id$)

$= (\tau\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R)))\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ(((\mu_A\otimes\mu_A)\circ\delta_{A\otimes A})\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes\tau\otimes(\tau^{-1}\circ(A\otimes\mu_H)))\circ(A\otimes H\otimes(c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\delta_A\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes H\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes\delta_H)\circ(((A\otimes\delta_H\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes c_{H,A})\circ(H\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$
(by (a1) of Definition 4.1)

$= (\tau\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R)))\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ(((\delta_A\circ\mu_A)\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes\tau\otimes(\tau^{-1}\circ(A\otimes\mu_H)))\circ(A\otimes H\otimes(c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\delta_A\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes H\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes\delta_H)\circ(((A\otimes\delta_H\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes c_{H,A})\circ(H\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$
(by (4))

$= (\tau\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R)))\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ(((\delta_A\circ\mu_A)\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes\tau\otimes((\tau^{-1}\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes H\otimes H)))\circ(A\otimes H\otimes(c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\delta_A\otimes c_{H,H}\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes H\otimes c_{H,A}\otimes\delta_H)\circ(((A\otimes\delta_H\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes c_{H,A})$
$\circ(H\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$ (by (81))

$= (A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R)\circ(((\tau\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ((\delta_A\circ\mu_A)\otimes H))\otimes((\tau^{-1}\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)$
$\circ(A\otimes A\otimes(c_{H,H}\circ\delta_H))))\circ\delta_{A\otimes A\otimes H}\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A})\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes A)\circ(H\otimes A\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\tau^{-1})$
$\circ((c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes H\otimes A\otimes H)\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A}))\circ(H\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$ (by naturality of c, coassociativity and $c^2=id$)

$= (A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R)\circ(((\tau\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ((\delta_A\otimes H)\otimes(\tau^{-1}\circ(\mu_A\otimes H))$
$\circ\delta_{A\otimes A\otimes H}\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A})\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes A)\circ(H\otimes A\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ((c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes H\otimes A\otimes H)\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A}))$
$\circ(H\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$ (by (90))

$= (A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R)\circ(((\tau\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ(\delta_A\otimes H))\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ(((\mu_A\otimes\mu_A)$
$\circ\delta_{A\otimes A})\otimes\delta_H)\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A})\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes A)\circ\circ(H\otimes A\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ((c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes H\otimes A\otimes H)$
$\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A}))\circ(H\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$ (by naturality of c)

$= (A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R)\circ(((\tau\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ(\delta_A\otimes H))\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ((\delta_A$
$\circ\mu_A)\otimes\delta_H)\circ(A\otimes c_{H,A})\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes A)\circ(H\otimes A\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ((c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes H\otimes A\otimes H)$
$\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A}))\circ(H\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$ (by (4))

$= q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ(A\otimes A\otimes(\eta_H\circ\varepsilon_H))\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H})\circ(f\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})$ (by (2), (1), naturality of c and unit and counit properties)

$= q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H})\circ(f\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})$ (by (146)).

Finally, we prove (135).

$q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ(A\otimes H\otimes\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H})\circ(f\otimes f\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})$
$= (A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R)\circ(((\tau\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ(\delta_A\otimes H))\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A}\circ(H\otimes((((\tau\otimes A)$
$\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ(\delta_A\otimes H))\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A}))\circ(H\otimes H\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$ (by (2) and unit and counit properties)

$= (((\tau\otimes\tau)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ((c_{A,A}\circ\delta_A)\otimes H\otimes H))\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes(R\circ\tau^{-1}))))$
$\circ(A\otimes H\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(((((A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ(\delta_A\otimes H))\otimes H\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes H\otimes A\otimes H)$
$\circ(H\otimes(\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A}))\circ(H\otimes H\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))$ (by naturality of c, coassociativity and $c^2=id$)

$= ((\tau\circ(A\otimes\mu_H))\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes(R\circ\tau^{-1}))))$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\circ(A\otimes H\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(((((A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ(\delta_A\otimes H))\otimes H\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes H\otimes A\otimes H)\\
&\circ(H\otimes(\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A}))\circ(H\otimes H\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))\ \text{(by (a2') of Definition 4.1)}\\
=\ &((\tau\circ(A\otimes\mu_H))\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes(R\circ((\tau^{-1}\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes H\otimes H))))))\\
&\circ(A\otimes c_{A\otimes A,H\otimes H}\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(((\delta_A\otimes A)\circ\delta_A)\otimes\delta_{H\otimes H})\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,A})\\
&\circ(H\otimes H\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))\ \text{(by naturality of } c\text{, coassociativity and } c^2=id)\\
=\ &((\tau\circ(A\otimes\mu_H))\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes(R\circ(\tau^{-1}\circ(A\otimes\mu_H))))))\\
&\circ(A\otimes c_{A\otimes A,H\otimes H}\otimes H\otimes H)\circ(((\delta_A\otimes A)\circ\delta_A)\otimes\delta_{H\otimes H})\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,A})\\
&\circ(H\otimes H\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))\ \text{(by (81))}\\
=\ &(A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes(R\circ\tau^{-1}))\circ(((\tau\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ(\delta_A\otimes H))\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\\
&\circ(\delta_A\otimes((\mu_H\otimes\mu_H)\circ\delta_{H\otimes H}))\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,A})\circ(H\otimes H\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))\ \text{(by naturality of } c\text{)}\\
=\ &(A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes(R\circ\tau^{-1}))\circ(((\tau\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H})\circ(\delta_A\otimes H))\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes H)\\
&\circ(\delta_A\otimes((\delta_H\circ\mu_H))\circ(c_{H,A}\otimes H)\circ(H\otimes c_{H,A})\circ(H\otimes H\otimes((A\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}))\ \text{(by (4))}\\
=\ &(\tau\otimes((A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes(R\circ\varepsilon_H\circ\mu_H))))\circ(((\delta_{A\otimes H}\otimes\tau^{-1})\circ\delta_{A\otimes H}\circ c_{H,A})\otimes H)\circ(\mu_H\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})\\
&\text{(by naturality of } c\text{, (2) and counit properties)}\\
=\ &q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ(\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes A\otimes H)\circ(f\otimes f\otimes i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H})\ \text{(by (2), (145), (146) and unit and counit properties).}
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Corollary 6.8. *Let A, H be Hopf quasigroups and let $\tau: A\otimes H\to K$ be a skew pairing. Assume that H is quasitriangular with morphism R. There exist an action φ_A and a coaction ρ_A such that (A,φ_A,ρ_A) is a Hopf quasigroup in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. Moreover, $A\rtimes H$ and $A\bowtie_\tau H$ are isomorphic Hopf quasigroups in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. By the proof of the previous theorem, we know that

$$(A\bowtie_\tau H, f=\eta_A\otimes H, g=(\tau\otimes\mu_H)\circ(A\otimes R\otimes H))$$

is a strong projection over H and (144) holds. Put

$$p_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}=A\otimes\varepsilon_H,\quad i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}=(A\otimes\tau\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R).$$

Then, $q_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}=i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ p_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}$ and $p_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}=id_A$ because

$$
\begin{aligned}
&p_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\\
=\ &(A\otimes\tau\otimes\varepsilon_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R)\ \text{(by (18))}\\
=\ &(A\otimes\tau)\circ(\delta_A\otimes\eta_H)\ \text{(by (d4) of Definition 6.5)}\\
=\ &id_A\ \text{(by (a3) of Definition 4.1 and counit properties)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we can choose $A=(A\bowtie_\tau H)_H$,

$$A\ \xrightarrow{i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}}\ A\bowtie_\tau H\ \underset{A\bowtie_\tau H\otimes\eta_H}{\overset{(A\bowtie_\tau H\otimes g)\circ\delta_{A\bowtie_\tau H}}{\rightrightarrows}}\ A\bowtie_\tau H\otimes H$$

is an equalizer diagram and

$$A\bowtie_\tau H\otimes H\ \underset{A\bowtie_\tau H\otimes\varepsilon_H}{\overset{\mu_{A\bowtie_\tau H}\circ(A\bowtie_\tau H\otimes f)}{\rightrightarrows}}\ A\bowtie_\tau H\ \xrightarrow{p_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}}\ A$$

is a coequalizer diagram. Moreover, by the general theory developed in [2] (see (136)), we know that (A,φ_A,ϱ_A) is a Hopf quasigroup in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, where φ_A is the action defined in Proposition 5.2, and

$$\rho_A=(\tau\otimes c_{A,H})\circ(A\otimes c_{A,H}\otimes\mu_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes(R\circ\tau)\otimes\lambda_H)\circ(\delta_A\otimes R).$$

By (127) and (129), the new magma-comonoid structure of A is

$$u_A=\eta_A,\quad m_A=\mu_A\circ(A\otimes\varphi_A)\circ(i_H^{A\bowtie_\tau H}\otimes A),$$
$$e_A=\varepsilon_A,\quad \Delta_A=\delta_A,$$

and the antipode s_A (see (137)) admits the following expression

$$s_A = (\tau \otimes \varphi_A) \circ (A \otimes R \otimes \lambda_A) \circ \delta_A.$$

Finally, the isomorphism of Hopf quasigroups

$$w = \mu_{A \bowtie_\tau H} \circ (i_H^{A \bowtie_\tau H} \otimes f) : A \rtimes H \to A \bowtie_\tau H$$

is

$$w = (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (i_H^{A \bowtie_\tau H} \otimes H).$$

□

Example 6.9. Let H_4 be the 4-dimensional Taft Hopf algebra and consider the Hopf quasigroup $A \bowtie_\tau H_4$ constructed in Example 4.15. By [29], we know that H_4 has a one-parameter family of quasitriangular structures R_α defined by

$$R_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1 - x \otimes x) + \frac{\alpha}{2}(y \otimes y - y \otimes w + w \otimes y + w \otimes w).$$

Therefore, we are in the conditions of the previous corollary and, as a consequence, A admits a structure of Hopf quasigroup in the category ${}^{H_4}_{H_4}\mathcal{YD}$. Moreover, $A \bowtie_\tau H_4 \backsimeq A \rtimes H_4$.

Acknowledgements

The authors were supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spain), grant MTM2016-79661-P (AEI/FEDER, UE, support included) (Homología, homotopía e invariantes categóricos en grupos y álgebras no asociativas).

References

[1] Alonso Álvarez J.N., Fernández Vilaboa J.M., González Rodríguez R.; Smash (co)products and skew pairings, *Publ. Mat.* **45** (2001), 467-475.
[2] Alonso Álvarez J.N., Fernández Vilaboa J.M., González Rodríguez R., Soneira Calvo, C.; Projections and Yetter-Drinfel'd modules over Hopf (co)quasigroups, *J. Algebra* **443** (2015), 153-199.
[3] Alonso Álvarez J.N., Fernández Vilaboa J.M., González Rodríguez R. and Soneira Calvo, C.; Cleft comodules over Hopf quasigroups, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **17** (2015), 1550007.
[4] Benkart, G., Madariaga, S., Pérez-Izquierdo, J.M.; Hopf algebras with triality, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365** (2012), 1001-1023.
[5] Bespalov Y., Kerler T., Lyubashenko V., Turaev V.; Integrals for braided Hopf Algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **148** (2000), 123-164.
[6] Bol G.; Gewebe und gruppen, *Math. Ann.* **114** (1937), 414-431.
[7] Bruck R.H.; Contributions to the theory of loops, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **60** (1946), 245-354.
[8] Brzeziński T., Hopf modules and the fundamental theorem for Hopf (co)quasigroups, *Internat. Elec. J. Algebra*, **8** (2010), 114-128.
[9] Brzeziński T., Jiao Z., Actions of Hopf quasigroups, *Comm. Algebra* **40** (2012), 681-696.
[10] Chein O.; Moufang loops of small order I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **188** (1974), 31-51.
[11] Doi Y.; Braided bialgebras and quadratic bialgebras, *Comm. Algebra* **21** (1993), 1731-1749.
[12] Doi, Y., Takeuchi M.; Multiplication alteration by two-cocycles. The quantum version, *Comm. Algebra* **22** (1994), 5175-5732.
[13] Drinfeld, V. G.; Quantum groups. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), 798-820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
[14] Eilenberg S., Kelly G.M.; Closed categories, Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra (La Jolla, Calif. 1965) 421-562, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
[15] Fang, X., Torrecillas, B.; Twisted smash products and L-R smash products for biquasimodule Hopf quasigroups, *Comm. Algebra* **42** (2014), 4204-4234.
[16] Joyal A., Street R., Braided monoidal categories, Macquarie Univ. Reports 860081, 1986.
[17] Joyal A., Street R., Braided tensor categories, *Adv. Math.* **102** (1993), 20-78.
[18] Kassel C., Quantum Groups, GTM **155**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
[19] Klim J., Integral theory for Hopf quasigroups, *arXiv* 1004.3929v2 (2010).
[20] Klim, J., Majid, S.; Hopf quasigroups and the algebraic 7-sphere, *J. Algebra* **323** (2010), 3067-3110.
[21] Mac Lane S., Categories for the Working Mathematician, GTM **5**, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1971.

[22] Majid, S.; Physics for algebraists: Non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras by a bicrossproduct construction, *J. Algebra* **130** (1990), 17-64.
[23] Majid S.; Cross products by braided groups and bosonization, *J. Algebra* **163** (1994), 165-190.
[24] Majid S.; Foundations of quantum group theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
[25] Mostovoy, J., Pérez-Izquierdo, J.M., Shestakov, I.P.; Hopf algebras in non associative Lie theory, *Bull. Math. Sci.* **4** (2014), 129-173.
[26] Pérez-Izquierdo, J.M., Shestakov, I.P.; An envelope for Malcev algebras, *J. Algebra* **272** (2004), 379-393.
[27] Pérez-Izquierdo, J.M.; Algebras, hyperalgebras, nonassociative bialgebras and loops, *Adv. Math.* **208** (2007), 834-876.
[28] Radford D.E.; The structure of Hopf algebras with a projection, *J. Algebra* **92** (1985), 322-347.
[29] Radford D.E.; Minimal quasi-triangular Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **157** (1993), 285-315.
[30] Radford D.E.; Hopf algebras, Series on knots and everything **49**, World Scientific, Singapore, 2012.
[31] Radford, D.E., Towber J., Yetter-Drinfeld categories associated to an arbitrary bialgebra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **87** (1993), 259-279.
[32] Robinson, D.A.; Bol-loops, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1966), 341-354.
[33] Rosenberg, A., Zelinsky, D.; On Amistur's complex, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **97** (1960), 327-356.
[34] Sweedler, M.E.; Multiplication alteration by two-cocycles, Illinois J. Math. **15** (1971), 302-323.
[35] Tvalavadze, M. V., Bremner, M. R.; Enveloping algebras of solvable Malcev algebras of dimension five, *Comm. in Algebra* **39** (2011), 1532?4125.
[36] Taft E.J.; The order of the antipode of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **68** (1971), 2631-2633.
[37] Takeuchi, M.; A Short Course on Quantum Matrices, New Directions in Hopf Algebras, MSRI Publications **43**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002), 383-435.